

# REGULATIONS

## B6 RESEARCH DEGREE REGULATIONS

**Regulations for the award of the University's degrees of Master of Philosophy, Doctor of Philosophy, the Research Component of the Professional Doctorate and final submission of PhD by Published Work**

**All Research Degree Regulations can be found at:  
<http://www.brookes.ac.uk/regulations/current/specific/>**

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
1 Principles	1
2 Entry requirements	1
3 Admission	2
4 Enrolment and fees	4
5 Registration	5
6 Regulations relating to different types of research degree programme:	
6.1 Candidates working as part of a research group	7
6.2 Candidates working overseas	7
6.3 Collaborative research degree programmes	7
6.4 Joint Doctoral Award Scheme	8
6.5 Research degrees involving creative work	9
6.6 Research degrees involving the preparation of an edition of texts or other work	10
7 Period of study:	
7.1 Mode of study	10
7.2 Programme length	10
7.3 Temporary suspension of period of registration	11
7.4 Extension of period of registration	12
7.5 Withdrawal of registration	12
7.6 Paid work and holidays	13
8 Programme of related activities	13
9 Supervision	14
10 Collaborating establishments	15
11 Annual monitoring requirements	16
12 Transfer of registration from MPhil to PhD	16
13 Approval of examination arrangements	17
14 The examiners	18
15 Submission of the thesis	19
16 First examination:	
16.1 General	20
16.2 Preliminary assessment of the thesis	20
16.3 Oral examination - Viva	21
16.4 Independent Chair	21
16.5 Final report and recommendation	22
16.6 Approval of the examiners' recommendation	24
17 Re-examination	25
18 Conferment of the award	28
19 Format of the thesis	28
20 Complaints and appeals procedures:	
20.1 Complaints procedure	31
20.2 Appeal against an examination decision	31
Annex 1: The role and responsibilities of the Research Degree Committee	34
Annex 2: List of the University's research degree forms	36

## 1. **Principles**

- 1.1 Oxford Brookes University (hereinafter referred to as 'the University') shall award the degrees of Master of Philosophy (MPhil), Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) and Professional Doctorates (D (Subject)), to registered candidates who successfully complete approved programmes of supervised research.
- 1.2 Programmes of research may be proposed in any field of study subject to the requirement that the proposed programme is capable of leading to scholarly research and to its presentation for assessment by appropriate examiners. The written thesis may be supplemented by material in other than written form. All proposed research programmes shall be considered on their academic merits and without reference to the concerns or interests of any associated funding body.
- 1.3 The MPhil shall be awarded to a candidate who, having critically investigated and evaluated an approved topic and demonstrated an understanding of research methods appropriate to the chosen field, has presented and defended a thesis by oral examination to the satisfaction of the examiners.
- 1.4 The PhD shall be awarded to a candidate who, having critically investigated and evaluated an approved topic resulting in an independent and original contribution to the field and demonstrated an understanding of research methods appropriate to the chosen field, has presented and defended a thesis (or other methods for visual and performing arts) by oral examination to the satisfaction of the examiners.
- 1.5 The University Research Degree Regulations will also apply to the research component for the award of Professional Doctorate.

## 2. **Entry requirements**

- 2.1 An applicant for registration for the degree of MPhil or MPhil with the possibility of transfer to PhD shall normally hold a first or upper second class honours degree of a Higher Education Institution in the UK or a qualification which is regarded by the Research Degree Committee as equivalent to such an honours degree. In some disciplines it may be a requirement that in addition to the honours degree, an applicant should also hold a relevant Masters qualification.
- 2.2 An applicant holding qualifications other than those in paragraph 2.1 shall be considered on the applicant's merits and in relation to the nature and scope of the programme of work proposed. In considering an applicant in this category, the Research Degree Committee shall look for evidence of the candidate's ability and background knowledge in relation to the proposed research. Professional experience, publications, written reports or other appropriate evidence of accomplishment shall be taken into consideration. Where appropriate prior experience or certificated learning will be credited according to the requirements of the specific programmes. An applicant may be required to pass an externally assessed qualifying examination at final year honours degree level before registration is approved. An applicant wishing to be considered under this regulation shall include in the application for registration the names of two suitable persons whom the Faculty shall consult concerning the candidate's academic attainment and fitness for research.

- 2.3 In exceptional circumstances direct registration for the degree of PhD may be permitted to an applicant who holds a Master's degree awarded by a UK Higher Education Institution or an overseas Master's degree of equivalent standard, provided that the Master's degree is recent and is in a closely related discipline which is appropriate to the proposed research and that the Master's degree included training in research and the execution of a research project. The Research Degree Committee may also register a candidate for PhD direct who, although lacking a Master's degree, has a good honours degree (or equivalent) in an appropriate discipline and has had appropriate research or professional experience at postgraduate level which has resulted in published work, written reports or other appropriate evidence of accomplishment.
- 2.4 Applicants whose main language is not English must meet the University's English language requirements and where applicable the Faculty or Department's requirements. Evidence must be provided in the form of either English language qualifications or other material that has been assessed by the Postgraduate Research Tutor as evidence of is student's English Language ability and confirm that it is equivalent to CEFR Level B2 or higher in each of the four components of language learning. International Tier 4 students must also meet the UKVI rules regarding English language competency.
- 2.5 Before being accepted, self-funded students should provide evidence that they are able to adequately fund the whole of their research degree programme. Candidates applying from a number of countries may now be required to pay a deposit at the offer stage in order to be granted a visa for entry.
- 2.6 Where appropriate International candidates should provide supporting documents confirming their nationality and visa requirements.
- 2.7 All candidates applying for a place on the programme must submit their application via the on-line application system, UKPASS.

### 3. **Admission**

- 3.1 The admission of research candidates must comply with the requirements stipulated within the University Admission Policy, Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research and the Research Degree Regulations.
- 3.2 Responsibility for the initial admission of candidates lies with each Faculty and the PVC Dean of Faculty who may delegate that responsibility as described in 3.3 below. Admission procedures should comply with Sections 2.1 – 2.8 of the Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research. However, formal registration for a research degree may only take place following approval by the Research Degree Committee of the Academic Board acting on behalf of the University.
- 3.3 In admitting a candidate to a research degree programme the Faculty or Department's Postgraduate Research Tutor or their deputy or the Chair of the Faculty Research Committee or Director of Research must ensure that:
- (a) the applicant is appropriately qualified in accordance with paragraphs 2.1 to 2.5. In addition for candidates where English is not their first language, copies of their degree and where appropriate an IELTS certificate from a recognised UKVI Test Centre must be obtained and the RDC English Language Assessment Form completed and signed;
  - (b) references are obtained;
  - (c) the proposed programme of research is viable, particularly if the topic has been generated by the candidate;
  - (d) adequate supervision is available which is likely to be sustainable and the Director of Studies identified;
  - (e) the necessary facilities exist for the conduct of the research;
  - (f) self-funded students should provide evidence that they are able to adequately fund the whole of their research degree programme;
  - (g) Ethical approval has been considered where appropriate;

- (h) Intellectual Property Rights have been considered;
  - (i) if appropriate, formal collaboration is set up with the institutions necessary to undertake the research, and
  - (j) criminal records check has been carried out where appropriate,
  - (k) supporting documents and scanned copies of passports are obtained where required in order to undertake fees assessment or to confirm immigration status;
  - (l) each candidate is interviewed either in person, by skype or by phone and the Postgraduate Research Tutor must be part of the interview panel;
  - (m) where there is an expectation of teaching being undertaken by a candidate applying for a funded studentship, their suitability for teaching should be considered as part of the admissions process.
- 3.4 Successful applicants must be issued with a formal offer letter from the Faculty or Department's Postgraduate Research Tutor or their Deputy or the Chair of the Faculty Research Committee or Director of Research. The offer must be accepted by the applicant in writing. Copies of the offer and acceptance letters must be lodged with the Research Degrees Team.
- 3.5 It should be noted that the offer letter constitutes the contract between the student and the University and should therefore contain sections covering all the contractual elements in accordance with the Code of Practice, Section 2.9, the offer letter shall specify:
- (a) The total fees, including any other charges such as bench fees which will be levied;
  - (b) A brief outline of the proposed research area and the normal period of study, including mode of study;
  - (c) The names of the supervisors (normally indicating the Director of Studies, who must be suitably qualified see 9.2) and other supervisory arrangements;
  - (d) A clear statement that continuation is dependent on satisfactory academic progress;
  - (e) If the research area requires Academic Technology Approval Scheme (ATAS) clearance, the correct JACS code must be noted. In addition to the code, a paragraph providing a concise, but detailed account of the proposed research must be included, complex technical details should be included if appropriate;
  - (f) The nature and extent of other duties (e.g. teaching) required (if any);
  - (g) The name and requirements and conditions of any sponsor, CASE award or similar (if any);
  - (h) A summary of any non-standard facilities and other entitlements that will be made available;
  - (i) Requirements of attendance, progress reports and review;
  - (j) Detail of compulsory Faculty or Department research methods or other training courses if any, and
  - (k) The University's terms and conditions governing entry to and study on a research degree programme, the Ethics policy, Intellectual Property Rights Policy and Health and Safety Information.
- 3.6 Should the need arise, the University will implement other regulations and policies relating to misconduct, including plagiarism as laid down in the following documents:
- Academic Integrity;
  - Cheating and Plagiarism;
  - Data Protection;
  - Research Ethics;
  - University's Student Conduct Regulations.
- 3.7 Admission decision must take into account the needs and requirements of students with disabilities and other special needs. A clear statement must be made at the outset, indicating the level of support available for the student from both the Faculty and University.

- 3.8 When admitting a student to the full-time Research Degree Programme, the Postgraduate Research Tutor must be satisfied that the student has sufficient time available to dedicate to their PhD. Normally, a full-time student must allocate at least 35 hours a week to work on their research programme and should not undertake more than 20 hours per week paid employment or other commitments, at any time during the course of the programme. Part-time candidates should have a minimum of 15 hours per week available for their programme of study over the full duration of their programme.
- 3.9 When offering a student a place on the programme, Postgraduate Research Tutors must satisfy themselves that a candidate about to begin their research degree has given due consideration to their personal and financial circumstances before accepting the offer. Probationer Research Students may not apply for a formal suspension or take unapproved periods of suspension during the first full year of enrolment (See Section 7.3). Where a student is not in a position to comply with the regulations, the offer of a place should be deferred for up to one year.

#### 4. **Enrolment and fees**

- 4.1 Having been offered and accepted a place to study for a research degree, all candidates shall enrol as students of the University at the start of their programme of research and shall maintain their enrolment until conferment of the degree. Enrolment is a prerequisite for registration for a research degree and all candidates will enrol as Probationer Research Students.
- 4.2 Probationer Research Students will be required to register their research proposals during the first year, from three months of enrolment for full-time students and within a maximum period of nine months for part-time students. Exceptionally, if a student has not registered their proposal by the fifth meeting of the appropriate RDC subject Sub-Committee meeting following first enrolment; on the recommendation of a student's supervisors and the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor, the Research Degree Committee will terminate the student's enrolment (except as in paragraph 5.3 below). on the recommendation of a student's supervisors and the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor, the Research Degree Committee will terminate their enrolment (see Section 7.5.2).
- 4.3 If satisfactory progress has been made and the candidate's application for registration has been approved by the Research Degree Committee, students will be formally registered as MPhil, MPhil/PhD, PhD or Professional Doctorate research students according to the degree applied for at registration.
- 4.4 Full-time candidates are required to pay the full fee for the following minimum enrolment periods:
- |            |             |
|------------|-------------|
| MPhil      | Two years   |
| MPhil/PhD  | Three years |
| PhD direct | Two years   |
- After completion of the relevant minimum enrolment period, full-time candidates shall pay a continuation fee until the submission of the thesis when no further fees will be due unless, the candidate is required to resubmit the thesis for re-examination (Section 4.7).
- 4.5 Full-time candidates who register for the degree of PhD direct and who complete in under the three year period shall be eligible for a waiver of fees for the remaining minimum enrolment period. MPhil candidates with the possibility of transfer to PhD are only eligible to pay the continuation fee if they have paid the full fees for three years. Professional Doctorate students must pay the course fee for 5 years before being eligible to pay the continuation fee.
- 4.6 Part-time candidates shall pay the appropriate part-time fee from initial enrolment to the submission of the thesis.

Part-time candidates are required to pay the part-time fee for the following minimum enrolment periods however if the thesis is not submitted at the end of this period the appropriate fee should be paid until submission of thesis for examination:

MPhil	Three years
MPhil/PhD	Six years
PhD direct	Three years
PhD by Published Work	One year
Professional Doctorate	Five years (two years taught and three years research)

After completion of the relevant fee payment period, part-time candidates shall pay a continuation fee until the submission of the thesis. Once the minimum period of registration has expired and the student submits their thesis for examination, there will be no further fees due (details in Section 7.2.2) unless, the candidate is required to resubmit the thesis for re-examination (Section 4.7).

- 4.7 Following the submission of the thesis, candidates are required to remain enrolled until the degree is awarded, but no fees are payable for this period. If however following the viva examination, the examiners recommend that the candidate is required to revise their thesis and resubmit it for re-examination within one year, the candidate will be required to pay the re-submission fee until resubmission of the thesis.

## 5. **Registration**

- 5.1 Candidates shall submit an application to the Research Degree Committee to register for the degree of:
- (a) Master of Philosophy; or
  - (b) Master of Philosophy with possibility of transfer to Doctor of Philosophy; or
  - (c) Doctor of Philosophy;
  - (d) Research Component of the Professional Doctorate.

This shall be done on form RDC-R and submitted to the appropriate RDC subject Sub-Committee from three to six months of enrolment for full-time students or within a maximum period of nine months for part-time students. It should be noted that exceptionally if a student has not registered by the fifth meeting of the appropriate RDC subject Sub-Committee meeting following first enrolment; on the recommendation of a student's supervisors and the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor, the Research Degree Committee will terminate the student's enrolment (except as in paragraph 5.3 below). All students should have a formal interview as part of the registration process, as detailed in their Faculty Handbook and once completed, the RDC-R form shall be completed by the candidate, with the assistance of the supervisors, and shall be approved by the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor who will forward it to the Research Degree Committee's, Science and Technology or Humanities and Social Sciences Sub-Committee for consideration.

- 5.2 The date of registration given in the application sets the start of the time period for the programme of research. The date of registration shall normally be the first of the month following the date the candidate initially enrolled on the research degree programme. The date of registration may not be backdated before the candidate's date of initial enrolment as a student at the University (unless account is being taken of a period of registered study at another institution as laid down in paragraph 5.4).
- 5.3 In exceptional cases, for example where extensive research training is being undertaken in the first year, the application for registration may be submitted after the three or nine month deadline. In such cases the application for registration must be submitted to the first RDC Sub-Committee meeting at the end of the candidate's first year of enrolment.

Candidates submitting an application later than the fifth RDC Sub-Committee meeting after their date of initial enrolment are required to provide reasons for the late submission to enable the Research Degree Committee to decide whether their date of registration should be the date of enrolment or a later date to acknowledge the delay in registering. This should be put in writing and attached to the application for registration, RDC-R.

- 5.4 Where a candidate has previously been registered as a candidate for a research degree at another institution and is seeking to transfer their registration to the University the Research Degree Committee may approve a shorter than usual registration period which takes account of all or part of the time already spent by the candidate on the research. When formally registering with the Research Degree Committee, candidates must complete RDC-R and submit a copy of the registration document that was approved at the other institution, the formal letter confirming the date of registration and the title of programme.
- 5.5 Where a student transfers from another institution to complete their degree at Brookes, there will be no fee reduction given at Brookes for the time spent working on a research degree at the other University.
- 5.6 In approving an application for registration, the Research Degree Committee shall satisfy itself that:
- (a) the candidate is suitably qualified;
  - (b) the candidate is embarking on a viable research programme;
  - (c) supervision is adequate and likely to be sustained;
  - (d) the University or formally approved collaborating establishment is able to provide appropriate facilities for the conduct of scholarly research in the area of the research programme;
  - (e) the candidate is enrolled as a student of the University and the research programme has been approved by the candidate's Faculty;
  - (f) Ethics approval has been obtained or an application submitted as appropriate;
  - (g) Intellectual Property Rights have been signed off, and
  - (h) In the case of a Professional Doctorate, all the taught modules have been completed successfully.

Since this approval requires appropriate academic judgement to be brought to bear on the viability of each research proposal, the Research Degree Committee shall be composed of persons who are or have recently been engaged in research and who have appropriate experience of successful research degree supervision (see Annex 1).

- 5.7 Where a research degree project is part of a piece of funded research, the Research Degree Committee shall establish to its satisfaction that the terms on which the research is funded do not detract from the fulfilment of the objectives and requirements of the candidate's research degree.
- 5.8 Except where permission has been given for the thesis and the oral examination to be in another language, the Research Degree Committee shall satisfy itself that the candidate has sufficient command of the English language to complete satisfactorily the programme of work and to prepare and defend a thesis in English. Permission to present a thesis in another language shall be sought at the time of application for registration. Permission to present a thesis in a language other than English shall only be given if the subject matter of the thesis involves language and related studies.
- 5.9 Where a candidate or the University wishes the thesis to remain confidential for a period of time after completion of the work, this shall be identified and approval sought from the Research Degree Committee at the time of registration. In such cases where the need for confidentiality emerges at a subsequent stage, the request for the thesis to remain confidential after submission shall be made immediately to the Research Degree Committee.

The Research Degree Committee shall normally only approve an application for confidentiality in order to enable a patent application to be lodged or to protect commercially or politically sensitive material. A thesis shall not be restricted in this way in order to protect research leads. The normal maximum period of confidentiality shall be two years from the date of oral examination and in such cases all examiners shall be required to complete and sign a Confidentiality Agreement RDC-CA. In exceptional circumstances the Research Degree Committee may approve a longer period up to a maximum of 5 years. Where a shorter period would be adequate the Research Degree Committee shall not automatically grant confidentiality for two years.

- 5.10 At final submission of the approved hardbound thesis, a student may request that the thesis is held on restricted access for a period of 2 years in the first instance, and up to a maximum of 5 years, in order to publish their research. This request should be noted on the RDC-Decl form and submitted with the final copy of the thesis.
- 5.11 A candidate seeking substantial change to an approved programme of research at any time after registration shall submit a revised registration form to the Research Degree Committee for approval.

## 6. **Regulations relating to different types of research degree programme**

### 6.1 **Candidates working as part of a research group**

- 6.1.1 A candidate whose work forms part of a larger group project may register for a research degree. In such cases each individually registered project shall in itself be distinguishable for the purposes of assessment and be appropriate for the award being sought. The application shall indicate clearly each individual contribution and its relationship to the group project.

### 6.2 **Candidates working overseas**

- 6.2.1 All full-time students are expected to attend the University for a substantial portion of the programme as agreed with their Supervisory Team and part-time students should attend for a minimum of six weeks each year. In exceptional circumstances the Research Degree Committee may approve an application from a candidate proposing to work outside the UK, provided that:

- (a) the candidate shall spend no less than an average of six weeks per year at the University;
- (b) the arrangements proposed for supervision enable frequent and substantial contact between the candidate and the supervisor(s) based in the UK, including adequate face-to-face contact with the supervisor(s);
- (c) appropriate support is available for the candidate whilst they are working outside the UK through the appointment of a locally-based supervisor or adviser; and
- (d) there is satisfactory evidence as to the facilities available for the research both in the University and abroad.

- 6.2.2 With the agreement of the supervisory team, students undertaking fieldwork as part of their research degree programme may work outside the UK for up to a maximum of nine months in any one academic. Exceptionally, if the fieldwork takes longer than nine months, the student must notify the Postgraduate Research Tutor who will apply to the Research Degree Sub-Committee for permission to extend the period of absence from the University.

### 6.3 **Collaborative research degree programmes**

- 6.3.1 A candidate may enrol on a collaborative research degree programme which has been set up by the University with another institution. The arrangements for such a programme will be formalised by an agreement at institutional level and will be approved in accordance with the University's quality assurance procedures for collaborative provision.

- 6.3.2 A candidate enrolled on such a programme will be jointly registered with the University and the other institution and will:
- (a) enrol at the University on an annual basis and pay the appropriate fee;
  - (b) register for a Brookes or a joint research degree (as stipulated by the agreement), within the first six or nine months of the programme;
  - (c) undertake the research degree programme on a full-time, part-time or mixed mode basis;
  - (d) register under the University's or the agreed conjoint research degree regulations (as stipulated by the agreement);
  - (e) have a supervisor appointed in both institutions in accordance with the requirements of Section 9;
  - (f) come to Brookes for a period of at least one year during the programme;
  - (g) be examined in accordance with the University's or the agreed conjoint research degree regulations (as stipulated by the agreement);
  - (h) be awarded a Brookes or a joint research degree (as stipulated by the agreement).
- 6.3.3 The institutional agreement or accompanying operations manual shall specify any changes to the University's research degree regulations as laid down herein.

#### 6.4 **Joint Doctoral Award Scheme**

- 6.4.1 A candidate may enrol on a Joint Doctoral Award Scheme which has been set up between Oxford Brookes University and another institution. The Scheme must be approved by the Research Degree Committee and applications must be made and approval obtained from both institutions before a candidate is formally offered a place. The proposed Scheme must operate in line with the agreed regulations, including the terms and conditions laid out below.
- a) The candidate must lodge an application with both Universities concurrently according to the regulations laid down in the Scheme.
  - b) The programmes will be full-cost. The proportion of the fee due to each institution should be calculated on the basis of the pattern of attendance and should also include an amount to cover the cost of supervision at a distance, including travel for the supervisors if appropriate, and the University overhead. The initial Agreement should state the fee to be charged by the other institution and what they would be providing for this. Any change to this arrangement must be agreed by both parties.
  - c) Prior to offer, both Universities must agree which institution's regulations will apply for the duration of the candidates research degree programme, including the minimum and maximum period of registration. The Research Degree Committee will ensure that the regulations selected or drawn up, maintain the standard set by those approved for use at Oxford Brookes University.
  - d) Both Universities must approve the application and offer the candidate a place in line with all the requirements and regulations appertaining to the Scheme and agreed by both institutions. Co-Director of Studies must be named by both institutions at this stage.
  - e) Members of both supervisory teams and the candidate must meet formally at least once each year.
  - f) The training programme for students and supervisors at the other institution must be approved by the Director of Researcher Training before any agreement was formalised
  - g) The time spent at each institution must be agreed in advance, with a period of no less than the equivalent of one calendar year spent at Oxford Brookes University.
  - h) Examination arrangements must be agreed by both institutions at the outset and consideration must be given to the format and language of the thesis; length of the written summary submitted in the second language; language to be used during the oral examination and the possible options available to examiners concerning the outcome of the examination and recommendations for the award.

- i) Under this Scheme both Universities award the Doctor of Philosophy and a certificate is issued by Oxford Brookes University and the partner institution. The certificates must clearly state that the award was made for one doctoral thesis and name both Universities participating in the scheme, indicating that two certificates had been issued for this degree.
- j) An institutional agreement or accompanying operational manual will be produced to assist those applying for the Joint Doctoral Award Scheme and shall specify any changes to the University's research degree regulations as laid down herein.

## 6.5 **Research degrees involving creative work**

- 6.5.1 A candidate may undertake a programme of research in which the candidate's own creative work forms the most significant part of the intellectual enquiry. Such creative work may be in any field (for instance, fine art, design, engineering and technology, architecture, creative writing, musical composition, film, dance and performance), but shall have been undertaken as part of the registered research programme.
- 6.5.2 The creative work shall be accompanied by a written commentary which sets the work in its relevant theoretical, critical or design context. The commentary shall conform to the usual scholarly requirements and be of an appropriate length (see paragraph 19.8).
- 6.5.3 Where the research degree involves musical composition, the portfolio of work shall comprise substantial compositions which show coherence, originality, experimentation with forms and language, and knowledge of contemporary issues in the field. The compositions shall be a total of 90 minutes duration and up to a maximum of 110 minutes for the degree of PhD and 45 minutes duration and up to a maximum of 65 minutes for the degree of MPhil. The written commentary shall address the compositional approaches used in each piece and shall demonstrate an understanding of the techniques used, the context of the piece, and appropriate aesthetic issues.
- 6.5.4 Where the research degree involves contemporary fine art practice, the submission shall represent a substantial individual achievement in the form of a major work (if a single installation, performance or event) or a body of works which demonstrates coherence, originality, experimentation with appropriate forms and media, and knowledge of contemporary issues in the field. The work or body of works shall give due consideration to its context and audience and, where relevant, the submission shall be presented in an appropriate public context. The written commentary shall address questions of context and audience and shall demonstrate an understanding of the methods, strategies and techniques employed, as well as the relevant aesthetic and theoretical issues. Since the exploratory research process is vital to the final form of the work, the submission is likely to include evidence of the research through practice (trials, examples, research diaries, evaluations) and the commentary may contain or be accompanied by visual or other relevant documentary material.
- 6.5.5 The final submission shall be accompanied by some permanent record (for instance, video, photographic record, CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, musical score, diagrammatic representation) of the creative work, where practicable, bound with the commentary.
- 6.5.6 Where the research degree is undertaken through workplace-based research (including architectural practice) or action research; the outcome would be a practical piece of work with a critical reflection on the work. The thesis should reflect a coherent research process; demonstrate a critical appreciation of the context of the research and its relationship to existing literature and practices. The thesis must show that the research has produced an independent, original and innovative contribution to knowledge and practices. The relationship between practical and critical work will vary from project to project and within the thesis the balance between the reflective commentary and practical work will vary according to the nature of the research. This work may include one of a number of approaches such as: developing an innovative project at a workplace e.g. developing new tools (technical or analytical); implementing a project (where the project or the implementation methods in itself are identified as contributing new knowledge in the field, such as testing new practices); learning and documenting new or hitherto undocumented/under-researched practices in a community of practice; adapting practices to new environments; formulating a new policy (institutional or thematic); working

on a project/learning at work (including activist research in which the candidate actively work for change in an organisation/group)

- 6.5.7 Where the research degree involves creative writing such as poetry or other literary work, the submission shall represent a substantial individual achievement in the form of a significant selection of literary works or poems, the volume of which will be determined on a case-by-case basis as appropriate for the submission. The written commentary shall demonstrate a critical appreciation of the context of the research, its originality and coherence, including its relationship to existing work and contribution to knowledge in the field.
- 6.5.8 The application for registration shall set out the form of the candidate's intended submission and of the proposed methods of assessment. Should this alter during the course of the programme, a revised plan for the intended submission must be considered at transfer and included in the RDC-T form for approval.
- 6.8.9 Examiners must be appointed by the Research Degree Committee and have been formally contacted by the Research Degrees Team before they undertake any assessment associated with the final examination.
- 6.5.10 Where there is a physical element to the examination of a practiced based PhD, such as an installation, performance or event, the beginning of the examination process will start from the date of the physical element of the examination and will be considered as the official submission date for the student's research degree. Examiners must be appointed before the practical element and the Research Degrees Team must be notified in advance of the date of the practical element so that formal letters and RDC Preliminary Report forms can be sent to the examiners in advance. The candidate will be permitted two months following this stage of the examination to complete the written thesis and submit the element of the work for examination and viva.

## 6.6 **Research degree involving the preparation of an edition of texts or other work**

- 6.6.1 A candidate may undertake a programme of research in which the principal focus is the preparation of a scholarly edition of a text or texts, musical or choreographic work, or other original artefacts.
- 6.6.2 The final submission shall include a copy of the edited text(s) or collection of artefact(s), appropriate textual and explanatory annotations, and a substantial introduction and critical commentary which set the text in the relevant historical, theoretical or critical context. The thesis itself shall conform to the usual scholarly requirements and be of an appropriate length (see paragraph 19.8).

## 7. **Period of study**

### 7.1 **Mode of study**

- 7.1.1 A candidate may register on a full-time or a part-time basis. A full-time candidate shall devote on average at least 35 hours per week over a 44-week year to the research and should be in attendance at the University for a substantial proportion of this time as agreed with their Supervisory Team; a part-time candidate on average at least 15 hours per week.
- 7.1.2 Where a candidate changes from full-time to part-time study or vice versa, the minimum and maximum registration periods shall be calculated as if the candidate were a part-time candidate. Application for such a change shall be made on form RDC-MS.
- 7.1.3 When a student has completed the maximum period for their programme and moved on to the final stage of the programme, they are then eligible to pay the Continuation Fee and their registered mode of study will remain unchanged unless an application for change of mode of study is submitted to the Research Degree Committee for approval.

## 7.2 **Programme length**

7.2.1 A full-time candidate shall normally reach the standard for MPhil within two years of registration and for PhD within three years and pro-rata for part-time.

7.2.2 The thesis must be submitted within the following minimum and maximum periods of registration:

	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
<b>MPhil</b>		
full-time	2 years	3 years
part-time	3 years	4 years
<b>PhD (via transfer from MPhil registration and including that period of MPhil registration)</b>		
full-time	3 years	5 years
part-time	4 years	6 years
<b>PhD (direct)</b>		
full-time	2 years	5 years
part-time	3 years	6 years
<b>Clinical Academic PhD Programme</b>		
full-time	4 years	5 years
part-time	5 years	6 years
<b>Research Component of the Professional Doctorate(s) EdD and DNurs</b>		
	3 years	4 years
<b>Research Component of the Professional Doctorate in Coaching and Mentoring</b>		
	2 years	4 years

7.2.3 **It should be noted that a timely completion/conferment for the following awards should take place within the timescale indicated below:**

<b>MPhil</b>		
full-time	2 years	
part-time	3 years	
<b>PhD (via transfer from MPhil registration and including that period of MPhil registration)</b>		
full-time	4 years	
part-time	5 years	
<b>PhD (direct)</b>		
full-time	2 years	
part-time	3 years	
<b>Clinical Academic PhD Programme</b>		
full-time	4 years	
part-time	5 years	
<b>Research Component of the Professional Doctorate</b>		
	3-4 years	
<b>Research Component of the Professional Doctorate in Coaching and Mentoring</b>		
	2-4 years	

### 7.3 **Temporary suspension of period of registration**

- 7.3.1 Where the candidate is prevented, by ill-health or exceptionally, for other reasons, from making progress with their research, the Research Degree Committee may suspend their registration for no more than one year at a time and for no longer than a maximum of two years. All applications will be considered on an individual basis and a case must be made by the student, which will be judged on merit, it should be noted that approval will not automatically be granted. A candidate seeking such a suspension shall apply on form RDC-Susp. The candidate's enrolment as a student with the University shall be suspended for the period of the approved suspension and access to all University facilities will be put in abeyance for the approved period.
- 7.3.2 Supervisors must ensure that applications for suspension of registration are submitted before the period of suspension and not retrospectively. Where in exceptional cases an application for retrospective suspension is required, the full application and supporting documentation must be submitted to the appropriate Research Degree Committee, subject Sub-Committee for consideration. The approval of applications for retrospective suspension cannot be guaranteed and if the Sub-Committee is unable to approve the application, the student will be required to enrol and pay the appropriate fees for that period of time.
- 7.3.3 Applications for suspension of registration will only be considered in circumstances related to illness / accident, exceptional personal circumstances, maternity leave, or where a difficult language has to be learnt. If a student applies for a suspension of registration due to illness lasting for any period longer than 4 weeks, a medical certificate must be given to the Postgraduate Research Tutor to support the application. If a suspension falls during an academic year, fees will not be payable for that period only.
- 7.3.4 All candidates beginning their research degree are expected to have given due consideration to their personal and financial circumstances before accepting an offer of a place on the programme. Probationer Research Students may not apply for a formal suspension or take unapproved periods of suspension during the first full year of enrolment.
- 7.3.5 Where exceptional, unforeseen circumstances occur which prevent normal progression, a candidate may apply for special consideration to be given to an application for suspension for a period of one month. Where an application for suspension is not approved, the student will be required to withdraw and apply again at a future date when they are able to maintain continuous enrolment. All applications must be requested immediately and with the full support of the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor and Supervisory Team. Retrospective applications will not be considered.
- 7.3.6 Faculties should note that in the case of students in receipt of Research Council funded studentships, the process for being granted a suspension of registration may differ from those applied at Oxford Brookes. It is important that the Directors of Studies contact the Research Degrees Team for advice before the student begins the period of suspension as failure to follow their procedures may lead to the funding bodies imposing sanctions on the University as a whole for a substantial period of time.

### 7.4 **Extension of period of registration**

- 7.4.1 A candidate shall submit the thesis to the Research Degrees Team before the expiry of the maximum period of registration. The Research Degree Committee may extend a candidate's period of registration, for not more than one year at a time and for not more than a maximum of two years. A candidate seeking such an extension shall apply on form RDC-Ext. Supervisors must ensure that applications for extension of registration are submitted before the period of extension and not retrospectively.
- 7.4.2 A candidate may apply to the Research Degree Committee for an extension to their period of registration. Extensions will be considered for time unavoidably lost through circumstances outside the control of the individual concerned or where a student's progress has been hindered through extreme pressure of external work for a limited period. Sympathetic consideration will be given to cases where the student has been absent through illness supported by a valid medical certificate.

7.4.3 Faculties should note that in the case of students in receipt of Research Council funded studentships, the process for being granted an extension of registration may differ from those applied at Oxford Brookes. It is important that the Directors of Studies contact the Research Degrees Team for advice before the student begins the period of suspension as failure to follow their procedures may lead to the funding bodies imposing sanctions on the University as a whole for a substantial period of time.

## 7.5 **Withdrawal of registration**

7.5.1 Where a candidate has discontinued the research, the withdrawal of registration shall be notified to the Research Degree Committee on form RDC-W completed by the candidate and/or the supervisors.

7.5.2 On the recommendation of a candidate's supervisors and the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor, the Research Degree Committee may terminate the registration of a candidate for the following reasons:

- (a) if a Probationer Research Student does not register their proposal within a maximum period of one year from the date of initial enrolment (pro rata for part-time students);
- (b) if the candidate's period of registration has expired and an application for extension of registration has not been submitted within a reasonable period;
- (c) if the candidate has not responded to any correspondence from the supervisors and there has been no contact between the candidate and the supervisors for a period of one year;
- (d) if the candidate has not maintained enrolment as a student of the University and paid the appropriate fees (see paragraphs 4.1 to 4.4);
- (e) if the candidate's Faculty provides evidence for unsatisfactory academic progress, over a period of one academic year.

7.5.3 A candidate who has formally withdrawn may apply for reinstatement of registration if, due to a change in circumstances, the candidate is in a position to be able to resume the research programme. The application should be considered by the appropriate Postgraduate Research Tutor in line with the Admission procedures in the Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research. Consideration will also be given to the likelihood of completion; past academic achievement; progress; references; financial viability and whether adequate supervision is available.

As part of the application, the candidate should submit a full proposal containing a detailed progress report, clearly outlining the work already undertaken with a full account of the work still to be completed. The application should include a realistic timetable of when the various stages of the remaining work will be completed.

The application will be considered by the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor in the same way as for a new application. However, particular attention will be paid to the progress made and the academic standard of the work already completed when the candidate was previously registered. The Faculty and the Research Degree Committee reserve the right to refuse re-entry to the programme if previous work was not of a satisfactory standard or if there are no longer supervisors available to support the work.

If an application to resume study is successful, the candidate will be required to enrol on a new student number and pay the appropriate full-time or part-time fee (see Section 4); no concession will be made for fees previously paid. An application for registration will then be made to the Research Degree Committee in the usual way; the amount of time allowed for the backdating of a candidate's new registration will depend on the recommendations made by the Faculty and Committee.

## 7.6 **Paid work and holidays**

- 7.6.1 A full-time candidate may undertake teaching, demonstrating and, subject to approval, other paid work directly related to their research training, provided that the total demands made on their time, including time spent in preparation, does not normally exceed six hours a week, averaged over 30 weeks.
- 7.6.2 The Research Degree Committee acknowledges that not all Departments will be able to offer students the opportunity to teach. However it is a requirement that all students undertake the basic teacher training provided by their Faculty or Department during their first semester, following enrolment on the programme.
- 7.6.3 The Faculty must, when asking research students to teach undergraduate students, provide appropriate instruction. Students whose teaching duties exceed 50 hours per year are required to attend the training course run by the Oxford Centre for Staff and Learning Development. Undergraduate teaching should be distributed amongst research students as fairly as possible to prevent individual students from being overloaded, which could have a detrimental affect on their research. Full-time students should not be required to undertake more than 6 hours of teaching duties per week including all teaching related activities.
- 7.6.4 Full-time students who wish to undertake paid work not directly related to their research training must get the permission of their Director of Studies and Postgraduate Research Tutor.
- 7.6.5 A full-time student must allocate at least 35 hours a week to work on their research programme and should not undertake more than 20 hours per week paid employment or other commitments at any time during the course of their programme. Part-time candidates should have a minimum of 15 hours per week available for their programme of study over the full duration of their programme.
- 7.6.6 Students may take up to eight weeks holiday in each year (pro rata for parts of a year), inclusive of normal public holidays. The timing of the holidays must be agreed with their Director of Studies.

## 8. **Programme of related activities**

- 8.1 As part of the programme of research a candidate shall follow a programme of related activities in order to attain competence in research methods and knowledge related to the subject of the thesis. This programme shall be intended:
- (a) to provide the candidate with the skills and knowledge necessary for the pursuit of the proposed research;
  - (b) to provide breadth of knowledge in the related subjects;
  - (c) to place the candidate in contact with the research community; and
  - (d) to provide generic training in related skills appropriate for personal and professional development.
- 8.2 Where the programme of related activities includes an approved programme of studies leading to another award and the candidate is registered for that programme and fulfils all its requirements, the candidate may be recommended for that award in addition to the degree of MPhil or PhD. The Research Degree Committee shall only approve such dual registration where either the research degree registration or the other course of study is by part-time study and that, in the opinion of the Research Degree Committee, the dual registration will not detract from the research. The work undertaken for the other award must not be submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the candidate's research degree.
- 8.3 A candidate may be required to undertake and pass a programme of formally assessed studies. Such a course of study shall not occupy more than one quarter of the total period of registration and shall complement the research. This programme is intended to provide a body of knowledge normally associated with a degree in the field of study of the proposed research.

## 9. **Supervision**

- 9.1 A candidate shall have at least two and not more than three supervisors.
- 9.2 One supervisor shall be the Director of Studies (first supervisor) with responsibility to ensure that the candidate receives supervision on a regular and frequent basis with members of the supervisory team. The Director of Studies shall normally have supervised one research student to completion and completed key components of the Supervisor Training Course. Where a Director of Studies only has one completion, whether as Director of Studies, Second Supervisor or Third Supervisor, the supervision of that research programme must have been for more than two thirds of the whole programme, anything less will not be considered sufficient to meet this requirement. However, where a member of staff has had substantial experience of supervising graduate students and where the team as a whole has supervised at least two research students to completion, the Chair of the appropriate Committee with the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor, will assess whether the experience is sufficient to take on the role of Director of Studies. The recommendation must be approved by the Chair of the Research Degree Committee before the member of staff can be appointed.
- 9.3 One individual shall not normally be Director of Studies for more than six full-time research students (pro rata for part-time students) and a further six students may be supervised as Second Supervisor, but the total number should not exceed a maximum of twelve students. The ratio may vary according to the number of full or part-time students allocated to a particular member of staff. The Postgraduate Research Tutor and Dean of Faculty or Head of Department shall assess the workload of a member of staff to ascertain the appropriate number of students to be supervised by that individual. Supervisory time should be included in the individual's workload plan.
- 9.4 Staff acting in the role of Second Supervisor should not supervise more than six full-time students (pro rata for part-time). If this number is exceeded, a letter should be provided from the PVC Dean of Faculty, confirming that the numbers allocated to the individual had been addressed through the work load planning review.
- 9.5 The supervision team shall normally be research active and have substantial research experience in the relevant subject area and have had a combined experience of supervising at least two research degree candidates to successful completion. In the case of a PhD, the supervisory team shall normally have supervised at least two PhD candidates to successful completion.
- 9.6 At least one supervisor should be a member of academic staff of the University. The PVC Dean of Faculty must ensure that the supervisors are suitably qualified for the proposed project. The supervisory team should have substantial research experience in the relevant subject area and normally have experience of supervising at least two research degree candidates to successful and timely completion at the appropriate level.
- 9.7 In addition to the supervisors, an adviser or advisers may be proposed to contribute some specialised knowledge or a link with an external organisation.
- 9.8 A candidate for a research degree or professional higher degree, excluding PhD by Published Work, shall be ineligible to act as a Supervisor for another research degree candidate.
- 9.9 Any proposal for change in supervision arrangements shall be made to the Research Degree Committee on form RDC-S.
- 9.10 Exceptionally the role of Director of Studies may be divided between no more than two Co-Directors of Studies. A Co-Director of Studies may supervise twelve students but this should not be added to the full quota allowed for other supervisory roles. In these cases the role of each person would have to be clearly identified at the outset and a case made to the relevant Sub-

Committee for approval. Where the role of Director of Studies is divided in this way, a second supervisor is also required to complete the team.

## 10. **Collaborating establishments**

10.1 The University encourages collaboration with industrial, commercial, professional or research establishments for the purposes of research leading to research degree awards. Such collaboration shall be intended:

- (a) to encourage outward-looking and relevant research;
- (b) to extend the candidate's own experience and perspectives of the work;
- (c) to provide a wider range of experience and expertise to assist in the development of the project;
- (d) to be mutually beneficial; and,
- (e) where appropriate, to enable the candidate to become a member of a research community.

10.2 Collaboration may be formalised with one or more bodies external to the University. For the purpose of the research degree regulations these shall be referred to as Collaborating Establishments. Formal collaboration shall involve the candidate's use of facilities and other resources, including supervision, which are provided jointly by the University and the Collaborating Establishment(s). In such cases a formal letter from a senior member of the Collaborating Establishment(s) confirming the agreed arrangements should be submitted with the application for registration, except where collaboration is an integral part of the project (as, for instance, with Research Council CASE awards).

10.3 The name(s) of the Collaborating Establishment(s) shall appear on the candidate's thesis and degree certificate.

10.4 Following the award of the degree one copy of the thesis should be lodged with the library of any Collaborating Establishment(s).

## 11. **Annual monitoring requirements**

11.1 The progress and status of all research students, including Professional Doctorate students, full-time and part-time, must be reviewed annually by each Faculty. Practice may vary and full details of the discipline specific requirements can be found in the Faculty Handbook. Candidates must produce a brief written annual progress report or equivalent, about their research as appropriate for their discipline (approximately 1,000 words). Where appropriate, students may be asked to submit particular chapters of their thesis as part of the monitoring assessment. The work should be submitted to the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutors and copied to the Supervisory Teams in accordance with the annual monitoring procedures. This assessment process must include one person external to the supervisory team and all students should be interviewed each year as part of the monitoring process.

11.2 In addition to the annual monitoring, at the end of the first year full-time or second year part-time, the progress review should include consideration of the likelihood of the candidate achieving the award of MPhil or PhD. If the review process indicates that the candidate is unlikely to achieve the degree of PhD within an appropriate period (four years for full-time or five years for part-time) clear indication should be given and if necessary the registration terminated or the candidate required only to submit for the degree of MPhil. Where a candidate is not likely to achieve the degree of MPhil the necessary action should be taken with a view to terminating registration. Directors of Studies are required to submit an annual progress report in respect of all candidates they are supervising to the appropriate Postgraduate Research Tutors, who will sign the reports and forward them to the Research Degrees Team.

- 11.3 Each Faculty must ensure that progress monitoring occurs during the first year of study and either at transfer of registration or the end of the second year for full-time students and pro-rata for part-time students. Where a student has registered for PhD direct, in addition to the first year report, a full progress review must take place at the end of the second year and should include an interview. The monitoring must include someone external to the supervisory team. Appropriate arrangements must be made for all students to make two oral presentations during their research degree programme and these should form part of progress monitoring.
- 11.4 Students will be given the opportunity annually, to give comment anonymously on the adequacy of supervision and facilities provided for their research.
- 11.5 All students enrolled on a research degree programme must submit a piece of work, as appropriate for the discipline, through Turnitin no more than three times in any one academic year. It will be expected that all students will submit a chapter or piece of academic writing through Turnitin during the annual monitoring process each year. Full details of the work submitted at this point will be noted on the Research Degree Committee's Annual Progress Report form and should include a summary or statement about the analysis of the Turnitin report. Other submissions may take place at the Registration and Transfer stages as indicated by the Department or Faculty Postgraduate Research Tutor.

## 12. **Transfer of registration from MPhil to PhD**

- 12.1 A candidate registered initially for MPhil with possibility of transfer to PhD who wishes to transfer to PhD should complete a transfer process within the Faculty. Practice may vary and full details of the discipline specific requirements can be found in the Faculty Handbook. Transfer comprises three main elements: a transfer report; an oral presentation and/or interview and an additional piece of work as indicated by the Faculty, Department or Supervisory Team.

The report should be considered by a person external to the supervisory team and that person should also be present at the interview.

Students should prepare a full progress report on the work undertaken during the MPhil stage for consideration by their Supervisors and Postgraduate Research Tutor. This should be done when sufficient progress has been made on the work to provide evidence of the development to PhD. Normally the process begins at about 18 months after the approved date of registration for full-time study and pro-rata for part-time study with the process beginning between 24-26 months after the date of registration.

The progress report should be produced as a word document of 1,000 words in length and unless otherwise indicated in the Faculty Handbook, should include:

- (a) a brief review and discussion of the work already undertaken (500 words);

and

- (b) a statement of the intended further work, including details of the original contribution to knowledge which is likely to emerge (500 words).

- 12.2 Once the transfer process has been successfully completed within the Faculty, the candidate shall then apply to the Research Degree Committee for approval of transfer of registration from MPhil to PhD on form RDC-T. The form should contain a summary of the full progress report (approximately 800 words) together with a timetable outlining the PhD element, with a schedule for detailing when the work will be completed. The supervisor's supporting report should be between 100–150 words and summarise the candidate's progress, giving details of any changes to the programme of research should it have altered significantly from that outlined in the original application for registration.

- 12.3 Before approving transfer from MPhil to PhD the Research Degree Committee shall be satisfied that the candidate has made sufficient progress and that the proposed programme provides a suitable basis for work at PhD standard which the candidate is capable of pursuing to completion.
- 12.4 A candidate registered for the degree of MPhil only may apply for an exceptional transfer of registration to PhD. In such cases, the same process as indicated in Section 12.1 should be undertaken within the Faculty and on successful completion the candidate's full progress report shall be submitted to the Research Degree Committee with the RDC-T application for transfer.
- 12.5 A candidate who is registered for the degree of PhD and who is unable to complete the approved programme of work may, at any time before the submission of the thesis for examination, apply to the Research Degree Committee through their supervisors, for the registration to revert to that for MPhil.

13. **Approval of examination arrangements**

- 13.1 The supervisors shall propose examiners and the final thesis title to the Research Degree Committee for approval on form RDC-E. This should be done at least three months before the expected date of submission of the thesis or in the case of a practiced based research degree, the date of the physical element of the examination. Exceptionally where the thesis is submitted prior to the approval of examination arrangements, Supervisors must ensure that the examination team is appointed no later than two weeks after submission has taken place. The examination may not take place until the examination arrangements have been approved. In special circumstances the Research Degree Committee may act directly to appoint examiners and arrange the examination of a candidate.
- 13.2 In cases where the research degree involves creative work and the candidate is submitting work in other than written form, the application for approval of examination arrangements shall contain a statement of the format of the candidate's creative work and the proposed methods of assessment.
- 13.3 Where there is evidence that the research has proceeded exceptionally well, the Research Degree Committee may approve the thesis being submitted in less than the minimum period of registration as specified in Section 7.2.2. The minimum period of registration will not normally be reduced by more than six months. The request for early submission should be made by the Director of Studies on behalf of the candidate with the application for approval of examination arrangements.
- 13.4 If the nature of the research necessitates the thesis being held on restricted access for a period of time up to a maximum of two years after completion of the work and approval of this was not sought at the time of registration, the request for confidentiality should be made with the application for approval of examination arrangements (paragraph 5.8 refers).
- 13.5 All examiners who are about to examine a candidate who has requested that the thesis be held on restricted access should complete the Confidentiality Agreement (RDC-CA) and submit it with the application for approval of examination arrangements.
- 13.6 At final submission of thesis, candidates may request that the thesis is held on restricted access to enable them to exploit their work. This must be done by completing the RDC-Decl form and submitting it with the hard bound copy of the final thesis.

#### 14. **The examiners**

- 14.1 The examining team shall comprise an internal examiner and one or two external examiners (except where sub-paragraphs 16.6.2(c) or 16.6.3(c) apply).
- 14.2 The internal examiner shall be a member of staff of the University or the candidate's Collaborating Establishment other than a supervisor or adviser of the candidate. Where possible, Internal examiners should be experienced in research in the general area of the candidate's thesis but need not be a subject expert. In cases where the internal examiner has not previously examined a higher degree, the Sub-Committee will consider whether a Chair is necessary to ensure consistency. All new internal examiners must have completed the relevant central training before they are proposed for approval by the Research Degree Committee. This is to ensure consistency and standardisation of the processes.
- 14.3 The examiners shall be experienced in research in the general area of the candidate's thesis and, where practicable, have experience as a specialist in the topic(s) to be examined.
- 14.4 At least one external examiner shall have substantial experience of research degree examining (that is, shall have examined at least two research degree candidates). In the case of a PhD examination, at least one external examiner shall have examined at least two PhD candidates and when examining an MPhil, PhD by Published Work or Professional Doctorate one external examiner should have experience of examining at least one candidate for the award of MPhil, PhD by Published Work or Professional Doctorate.
- 14.5 In all cases where the candidate and the internal examiner are both on the staff of the same establishment, a second external examiner shall be appointed.
- 14.6 An external examiner shall be independent both of the University and of the Collaborating Establishment and shall not have acted previously as the candidate's supervisor or adviser. An external examiner shall normally not be either a supervisor of another candidate or an external examiner on a taught course in the same Faculty at the University. Former members of staff of the University shall not be approved as external examiners until five years after the termination of their employment with the University. The Research Degree Committee shall ensure that the same external examiner is not approved for more than four examinations in a period of three years, and so ensure that the examiner does not become too familiar with the Faculty and therefore prejudice objective judgement. If an external examiner has been appointed for the maximum of four examinations, the examiner concerned should not be proposed again for a period of two years.
- 14.7 No candidate for a research degree or a professional higher degree shall act as an examiner.
- 14.8 The University shall determine and pay the fees and expenses of the examiners.

#### 15. **Submission of the thesis**

- 15.1 Students may submit the final draft copies of their thesis electronically to their Supervisory Team for final review and comment. Should the Supervisors prefer to review the work in hard copy, they must produce their own copy within the Department at no cost to the student.
- 15.2 The Director of Studies or the Research Degrees Team shall make known to the candidate the procedure to be followed for the submission of the thesis and any conditions to be satisfied before the candidate may be considered eligible for examination. The thesis will not be sent for examination until all outstanding fees or debts to the University have been paid in full. The number of copies of the thesis to be submitted will be determined by the number of examiners appointed, in most cases one internal and one external examiner are appointed and therefore two copies of the thesis should be submitted. A copy of the Abstract page should also be submitted with the thesis at this stage.

- 15.3 Where there is evidence that the research has proceeded exceptionally well, the Research Degree Committee may approve the thesis being submitted in less than the minimum period of registration as specified in Section 7.2.2. The minimum period of registration will not normally be reduced by more than six months. The request for early submission should be made by the Director of Studies on behalf of the candidate with the application for approval of examination arrangements.
- 15.4 The candidate shall ensure that the thesis is submitted to the Research Degrees Team before the expiry of the registration period (see paragraph 7.2.2).
- 15.5 The candidate shall ensure that the thesis format is in accordance with the requirements of the University's regulations (see Section 19).
- 15.6 The submission of the thesis for examination shall be at the sole discretion of the candidate. While a candidate would be unwise to submit the thesis for examination against the advice of the supervisors, it is the candidate's right to do so. Equally, candidates should not assume that a supervisor's agreement to the submission of a thesis guarantees the award of the degree. Candidates are required to present the final version of the thesis to the supervisors before submission and to obtain the Director of Studies' signature on the submission form RDC-Sub. This form must be submitted to the Research Degrees Team before the thesis can be sent out to the examiners.
- 15.7 In exceptional cases, a candidate may submit the thesis for examination without the supervisor's agreement, however the supervisor will still be required to sign the submission form before the thesis can be sent to the examiners.
- 15.8 The candidate shall confirm, through completion of form RDC-Sub, that the thesis has not been submitted for a comparable academic award. The candidate shall not be precluded from incorporating in the thesis, covering a wider field, work which has already been submitted for a degree or comparable award, provided that it is indicated, on the submission form and also in the thesis, which work has been so incorporated.
- 15.9 The candidate shall confirm through completion of form RDC-Sub, that the work submitted is their own, unless otherwise indicated through the use of an approved referencing system.
16. **First examination**
- 16.1 **General**
- 16.1.1 The examination for the MPhil and PhD shall have two stages (with the exception of practiced based work - see paragraph 6.5.8): firstly the submission and preliminary assessment of the thesis and secondly its defence by oral or approved alternative (see paragraph 16.3.1) examination.
- 16.1.2 The candidate shall satisfy any conditions of eligibility for examination required by the Research Degree Committee.
- 16.1.3 A candidate who has been required to undertake a programme of formally assessed studies (see paragraph 8.3) shall not be permitted to proceed to research degree examination until the course work examiners are satisfied with the candidate's performance. The result of the assessment shall be communicated to the examiners of the thesis.

- 16.1.4 The Research Degree Committee shall ensure that all examinations are conducted and the recommendations of the examiners are presented wholly in accordance with the University's regulations. In any instance where the Research Degree Committee is made aware of a failure to comply with all the procedures of the examination process, it may declare the examination null and void and appoint new examiners.
- 16.1.5 Where evidence of plagiarism in the preparation of the thesis or other irregularities in the conduct of the examination come to light subsequent to the recommendation of the examiners, the Academic Conduct Officer must be informed immediately, who will deal with the matter in line with the University's Student Conduct Regulations and Disciplinary Procedure.
- 16.1.6 The degree of MPhil or PhD may be awarded posthumously on the basis of a thesis completed by a candidate, which is ready for submission for examination. In such cases the Research Degree Committee shall seek evidence that the candidate would have been likely to have been successful had the oral examination taken place.

16.2 **Preliminary assessment of the thesis**

- 16.2.1 The Research Degrees Team shall send a copy of the thesis to each examiner, together with the examiner's preliminary report form RDC-MPhil (A) or RDC-PhD (A), or the appropriate form for other awards and the University's research degree regulations, and shall ensure that the examiners are properly briefed as to their duties. Examiners must be appointed before the thesis is submitted so that the thesis may be sent for examination within days of submission. In exceptional circumstances, where examiners have not been appointed in advance of submission, the examining team must be proposed and approved within a maximum of two weeks from the date of submission.
- 16.2.2 Each examiner shall read and examine the thesis and submit on form RDC-MPhil(A) or RDC-PhD(A) an independent preliminary report and send it to the Research Degrees Team at least one week before any oral or alternative form of examination is held. In completing the preliminary report, each examiner shall consider whether the thesis provisionally satisfies the requirements of the degree (as set out in paragraphs 1.3 and 1.4) and where possible make an appropriate provisional recommendation subject to the outcome of any oral examination.
- 16.2.3 The Research Degrees Team shall ensure as far as possible, that all the examiners have completed their independent preliminary reports on the RDC form sent to them with the thesis and return the form directly to the Research Degree Team at least one week before the oral examination takes place.
- 16.2.4 Where the examiners are of the opinion that the thesis is so unsatisfactory that no useful purpose would be served by conducting an oral examination, they may recommend that the Research Degree Committee dispense with the oral examination and refer the thesis for further work. In such cases the examiners shall provide the Research Degree Committee with written guidance for the candidate concerning the deficiencies of the thesis. The examiners shall not recommend that a candidate fail outright (see sub-paragraph 16.5.1(d)) without holding an oral examination or other alternative examination (see paragraph 16.3.1).

16.3 **Oral examination**

- 16.3.1 A candidate shall be examined orally on the programme of work and on the field of study in which the programme lies. Where for reasons of sickness, disability or comparable valid cause the Research Degree Committee is satisfied that a candidate would be under serious disadvantage if required to undergo an oral examination, an alternative form of examination may be approved. Such approval shall not be given on the grounds that the candidate's knowledge of the language in which the thesis is presented is inadequate.

- 16.3.2 It is the responsibility of the internal examiner to make all the necessary arrangements for the oral examination. This will include agreeing the date of the oral examination and notifying the candidate, external examiner(s) and supervisors in writing of the date and the arrangements for the oral examination. Where the internal examiner is external to the University, the Faculty or Departmental Postgraduate Research Tutor shall take responsibility for organising the oral examination.
- 16.3.3 The candidate shall take no part in the arrangement of the examination and shall have no formal contact whatsoever with the external and internal examiner(s) from the time they are appointed and throughout the whole of the examination process, including the period leading up to the formal notification by the Research Degree Committee that the degree may be conferred.
- 16.3.4 The oral examination shall normally be conducted within three months from the date of receipt of the thesis by the examiners. The oral examination shall not be arranged less than one month from the date of receipt of the thesis by the examiners in order to give the examiners a reasonable period to assess the work. Where circumstances arise which prevent the oral examination being conducted within the maximum period, the Research Degree Team shall be notified of the reasons for the delay. Following consultation with the Chair of the Research Degree Committee, a decision will be taken as to whether an extension to the period for examination shall be approved or if a new examining team should be appointed.
- 16.3.5 The oral examination shall be held at this University, however in special circumstances it may be held elsewhere, for example at a Collaborating Establishment. In exceptional cases the Research Degree Committee may give approval for the examination to take place abroad, elsewhere in the UK or to be held by Video Conference.
- 16.3.6 Supervisors, advisers and the Chair of the Research Degree Committee may, with the consent of the candidate, attend the oral examination, but shall not contribute in any way during the course of the viva and must withdraw prior to the deliberations of the examiners on the outcome of the examination.

#### 16.4 **Independent Chair of Viva**

- 16.4.1 An Independent Chair may be appointed in the following situations and should be proposed when the application for approval of examination arrangements is submitted to the Research Degree Committee for approval.
- (a) the internal examiner has not previously examined a higher degree and an Independent Chair may be necessary to ensure consistency;
  - (b) where the external examiner is not fully acquainted with UK procedures for Doctorate examinations;
  - (c) at the discretion of the Research Degree Committee when the application for approval of examination arrangements is considered;
  - (d) where a student has taken longer than the normal period of time to complete, i.e. four years for full-time students and five years for part-time students;
  - (e) an Independent Chair must be present at all second vivas;
  - (f) at the discretion of the Research Degrees Sub-Committee if there is reason to feel the viva will benefit from the presence of an external party;
  - (g) a student may request that an Independent Chair is present, however the Research Degrees Sub-Committee may decline approval if a Chair is deemed unnecessary.

#### 16.4.2 **The role of an Independent Chair**

- (a) the primary role of the Independent Chair is to ensure that the University's processes and regulations are carried out as stated in the Research Degree Regulations and Guidance notes for internal and external examiners;
- (b) at the beginning of the viva the Independent Chair should introduce the candidate to the examiners and then hand over all matters related to the viva to the examiners and remain in the room as an observer;

- (c) the independent Chair should not:
  - (i) read the thesis;
  - (ii) make any contributions to the academic examination of the thesis;
  - (iii) make any contributions to the academic evaluation of the thesis by the examiners.
- (d) in difficult situations the independent Chair may advise the examiners of the particular regulations and procedures that may be applied;
- (e) an Independent Chair is not expected to have any academic expertise in the area being examined, nor to bring to the examining process any such expertise she/he may coincidentally possess;
- (f) at the end of the viva the Independent Chair will complete the relevant section of the final report form confirming the examiners' recommendations and detailing any issues that may have arisen;
- (g) in the event of a review or appeal against an examination decision, the Independent Chair of the examination may be approached by the Chair of the University's Research Degree Committee or the review panel for further information.

## 16.5 **Final report and recommendation**

16.5.1 Following the oral or approved alternative examination the examiners may recommend that:

- (a) the candidate is awarded the degree;
- (b) the candidate be awarded the degree subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis (see paragraph 16.5.5);
- (c) the candidate be awarded the degree subject to major amendments being made to the thesis (see paragraph 16.5.7);
- (d) the candidate be permitted to re-submit for the degree and must be re-examined with an oral examination (see paragraph 16.5.9 and Section 17); However, if exceptionally the examiners agree that the quality of the revised thesis was outstanding, the degree may be awarded without the second viva.
- (e) the candidate be not awarded the degree and be not permitted to be re-examined (see paragraph 16.5.11); or
- (f) in the case of a PhD examination, the candidate is awarded the degree of MPhil subject to the presentation of the thesis being amended to the satisfaction of the examiners (see paragraph 16.5.12).

16.5.2 The examiners shall inform the candidate of their recommendation on the result of the examination but they shall make it clear that the decision rests with the Research Degree Committee.

16.5.3 The examiners shall, where they are in agreement, make their joint report and recommendation relating to the award of the degree on the appropriate form - RDC-MPhil(B) or RSC-PhD(B) or the appropriate alternative form for other degrees. The RDC Final Report Form must be completed and signed by all examiners directly after the Viva and where appropriate, the Independent Chair at the Viva. The hard copy of the RDC Final Report Form must be sent to the Research Degrees Team directly after the oral examination has been completed and definitely within 24 hours of the completion of the examination. Where the examiners are not in agreement, separate report forms and recommendations shall be submitted.

16.5.4 Where amendments are required to the thesis, the Internal Examiner will produce a full report as a word document containing all the examiners' conclusions and where appropriate any revisions required by the examiners and email it to the Research Degrees Team within two weeks of the viva.

- 16.5.5 The preliminary reports and joint recommendation of the examiners shall together provide sufficiently detailed comments on the scope and quality of the work to enable the Research Degree Committee to satisfy itself that the recommendation chosen in paragraph 16.5.1 is correct.
- 16.5.6 Where the examiners are satisfied that the candidate has in general reached the standard required for the degree, but consider that the candidate's thesis requires some minor amendments and corrections, not so substantial as to call for the submission of a revised thesis, the examiners shall recommend that the degree be awarded subject to the candidate amending the thesis to the satisfaction of the internal examiner(s) (see sub-paragraph 16.5.1(b)). The internal examiner shall collate all the comments of the examiners as a Word document and shall produce an agreed written list of the minor amendments and corrections required to the thesis. This should be sent by post or emailed to the Research Degrees Team within two weeks of the date of the oral examination and once approved, the report shall be sent to the candidate.
- 16.5.7 Candidates should complete the revisions within three months of receiving the report from the Research Degrees Team and should produce a temporary bound or with the prior agreement of the examiners, an electronic copy of the amended thesis and send it to the internal examiner to approve the corrections. The internal examiner should notify the candidate of the decision within one month of receipt of the thesis. Once the amendments have been approved a letter or email should be sent to the Research Degrees Team from the examiner, confirming that the amended thesis meets the examiners' requirements.
- 16.5.8 Where the examiners are satisfied that the candidate has in general reached the standard required for the degree, but consider that the candidate's thesis requires some major amendments and corrections not so substantial as to call for the submission of a revised thesis, the examiners shall recommend that the degree be awarded subject to the candidate amending the thesis to the satisfaction of the internal and/or the external examiner(s) (see sub-paragraph 16.5.1(b)). The internal examiner shall collate all the comments of the examiners as a Word document and shall produce an agreed written list of the minor amendments and corrections required to the thesis. This should be sent by post or emailed to the Research Degrees Team within two weeks of the date of the oral examination and once approved, the report shall be sent to the candidate.
- 16.5.9 Candidates should produce a temporary bound or with the prior agreement of the examiners, an electronic copy of the amended thesis and should send it to the examiner(s) who have been given the responsibility for approving the corrections, within six months of the date the examiners' report was issued by the Research Degrees Team. The examiners should notify the candidate of their decision within two months of receipt of the thesis. Once the amendments have been approved, a letter or email should be sent to the Research Degrees Team from the examiner(s), confirming that the amended thesis meets the examiners' requirements.
- 16.5.10 Where the examiners recommend that the candidate be permitted to re-submit for the degree and be re-examined (see sub-paragraph 16.5.1(c)), the examiners shall provide the candidate with written guidance on the deficiencies of the thesis and the further work which is required. The internal examiner shall collate all the comments of the examiners as a Word document and shall produce an agreed written list of the revisions required to the thesis. This should be sent by post or emailed to the Research Degrees Team within two weeks of the date of the oral examination and once approved, the report shall be sent to the candidate. The revised thesis shall be submitted to the Research Degrees Team within one year of the date the examiners' report was issued by the Research Degrees Team. The re-examination shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements of Section 17.
- 16.5.11 Where the examiners recommend that the degree be not awarded and that no re-examination is permitted (see sub-paragraph 16.5.1(e)), the examiners shall prepare an agreed statement of the deficiencies of the thesis and the reason for their recommendation, which shall be submitted to the candidate by the Research Degrees Team.

16.5.12 Where the examiners recommend, in the case of a PhD examination, that the candidate be awarded the degree of MPhil (see sub-paragraph 16.5.1(f)), the examiners shall prepare an agreed statement of the reason for the recommendation, which shall be submitted to the candidate and the Research Degrees Team. When the candidate is awarded the degree of MPhil subject to the presentation of the thesis being amended to the satisfaction of the examiners, the maximum timescale for completing the amendments is 6 months. However where the changes are minor, the examiners may recommend a shorter period of time for completing the work.

16.5.13 A further examination in addition to the oral examination may be requested by the examiners. In such cases the approval of the Research Degree Committee shall be sought without delay. Where such an examination is arranged following an oral examination, it shall normally be held within two months of the oral examination unless the Research Degree Committee permits otherwise. Any such examination shall be deemed to be part of the candidate's first examination.

## 16.6 **Approval of the examiners' recommendation**

16.6.1 Where the examiners are in agreement and make a joint recommendation, on behalf of the Research Degree Committee, the Chair shall make a decision on the reports and recommendation of the examiners in respect of the candidate. This decision shall be reported to the Research Degree Committee.

16.6.2 In any instances where the Chair does not agree with the examiners' joint recommendation, the Chair shall refer the matter to the Research Degree Committee for consideration. The Research Degree Committee may:

- (a) seek clarification or further information from the examiners as to the reasons for their recommendation;
- (b) refer the recommendation back to the examiners for review in the light of the Research Degree Committee's comments; or
- (c) require the appointment of an additional external examiner (see paragraph 16.6.4).

16.6.3 Where the examiners' recommendations are not unanimous, the Chair of the Research Degree Committee shall refer the matter to the Research Degree Committee for consideration. The Research Degree Committee may:

- (a) accept a majority recommendation (provided that the majority recommendation includes at least one external examiner);
- (b) accept the recommendation of the external examiner; or
- (c) require the appointment of an additional external examiner.

16.6.4 Where an additional external examiner is appointed under sub-paragraph 16.6.2(c) or 16.6.3(c), the additional examiner shall prepare an independent preliminary report on the basis of the thesis and, if considered necessary, may conduct a further oral examination. That examiner should not be informed of the recommendations of the other examiners. On receipt of the report from the additional examiner the Research Degree Committee shall reach a decision regarding the outcome of the examination.

## 17. **Re-examination**

17.1 On the recommendation of the examiners and with the approval of the Research Degree Committee, one re-examination may be permitted for a candidate who fails to satisfy the examiners at the first examination and this must include an oral examination. Where appropriate, an approved alternative examination (see paragraph 16.3.1) or another further examination may be required as indicated in paragraph 16.5.9.

- 17.2 The candidate shall submit the thesis for re-examination within the period of one year from the date the examiners' report was issued by the Research Degrees Team following the final part of the first examination. Unless the revised thesis is of exceptional quality and the examiners agree that the degree may be conferred without a second Viva, all re-examinations must include a second oral examination. The re-examination shall take place within one year of the date of this dispensation (see paragraph 16.2.4), however the Research Degree Committee may, where there are good reasons, approve an extension of the re-submission period.
- 17.3 The Research Degree Committee may require that an additional external examiner be appointed for the re-examination.
- 17.4 The submission of the revised thesis and the re-examination process shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements for the first examination.
- 17.5 The candidate shall follow the guidance for submission as detailed in Section 15 and unless otherwise stated, produce the same number of copies of the revised thesis as at the first examination. These should be resubmitted to the Research Degrees Team with RDC-Sub form and a copy of the abstract.
- 17.6 The preliminary assessment of the thesis will be undertaken as detailed in Section 16.2. If the Research Degree Committee has not appointed an additional examiner, the thesis will be sent to the original examination team for re-examination against the final report and recommendations approved following the first viva. The second oral examination should take place within the maximum deadline of three months from the date the examiners receive the thesis and each examiner should submit an independent preliminary report to the Research Degrees Team at least one week before the date of the second oral examination.
- 17.7 Where the examiners agree that the revisions to the thesis are of exceptional quality, they may recommend that no further oral examination is required and the degree may be conferred. However, in all other cases a second oral examination must be held and following that oral examination, they may recommend that the degree be awarded subject to minor or major amendments being completed as indicated in the original report and reiterated in their report following re-examination.
- 17.8 Arrangements for the second oral examination should be carried out in the same way as for the first examinations and stated in Sections 16.3 and 16.5.
- 17.9 There are five forms of re-examination:
- (a) where the candidate's revised thesis is of exceptionally high quality and the performance in the first oral or approved alternative examination (see paragraph 16.3.1) or further examination (see paragraph 16.5.10) was satisfactory, the examiners may agree that the degree may be conferred and no further oral examination will be necessary;
  - (b) where the candidate's performance in the first oral or approved alternative examination or further examination was unsatisfactory and the thesis was also unsatisfactory, any re-examination shall include a re-examination of the thesis and an oral or approved alternative examination;
  - (c) where on the first examination the candidate's thesis was so unsatisfactory that the Research Degree Committee dispensed with the oral examination (see paragraph 16.2.4), any re-examination shall include a re-examination of the thesis and an oral or approved alternative examination;
  - (d) where on the first examination the candidate's thesis was satisfactory but the performance in the oral and/or other examination(s) was not satisfactory the candidate shall be re-examined in the oral and/or other examination(s), subject to the time limits prescribed in sub-paragraph 17.3, without being requested to revise and re-submit the thesis;

- (e) where on the first examination the thesis was satisfactory but the candidate's performance in relation to the other requirements for the award of the degree was not satisfactory, the examiners may propose instead a different form of re-examination to test the candidate's abilities; such an examination may take place only with the approval of the Research Degree Committee.
- 17.10 The timescale for examining a resubmitted thesis shall be the same as for the first examination, with the oral examination being held within three months from the date of receipt of the thesis
- 17.11 Where the thesis is deemed of such high quality that the degree may be awarded without a second oral examination, the examiners must notify the Research Degree Team normally within two months from the date of receipt of the thesis and within a maximum of three months.
- 17.12 In the case of a re-examination under sub-paragraphs 17.9(a), (c) or (d), each examiner shall read and examine the revised thesis and submit, on the appropriate form, an independent preliminary report on it to the Research Degrees Team before any oral or alternative form of examination is held. In completing the preliminary report, each examiner shall consider whether the revised thesis provisionally satisfies the requirements of the degree (as set out in paragraphs 1.3 and 1.4) and where possible make an appropriate provisional recommendation subject to the outcome of any oral examination. This report will constitute the basis of any further revisions should they be required.
- 17.13 Following the re-examination of the thesis and further oral or other examination if required, the examiners shall, where they are in agreement, make their joint report and recommendation relating to the award of the degree on the appropriate form, RDC-MPhil(B) or RDC-PhD(B). The RDC form must be signed by the examiners and submitted to the Research Degrees Team as soon as possible after the completion of the oral examination and definitely within 24 hours of the completion of the oral examination. Where the thesis was of exceptional quality and the examiners agree that the degree may be conferred without a further oral examination, the examiners must return a joint report within one week of having reached their joint decision. Where the examiners are not in agreement, separate reports and recommendations shall be submitted.
- 17.14 The preliminary reports and joint recommendation of the examiners shall together provide sufficiently detailed comments on the scope and quality of the work to enable the Research Degree Committee to satisfy itself that the recommendation chosen in paragraph 17.9 is correct.
- 17.15 Following the completion of the re-examination the examiners may recommend that:
- (a) the candidate is awarded the degree;
  - (b) the candidate be awarded the degree subject to minor or major amendments being made to the thesis (see paragraph 17.16);
  - (c) the candidate be not awarded the degree (see paragraph 17.18); or
  - (d) in the case of a PhD examination, the candidate is awarded the degree of MPhil subject to the presentation of the thesis amended to the satisfaction of the examiners (see paragraph 17.19).
- 17.16 Where an oral examination has taken place, the examiners shall inform the candidate of their recommendation on the result of the re-examination but they shall make it clear that the decision rests with the Research Degree Committee. Where no further oral examination has been held because the thesis was deemed to be of exceptional quality, following the receipt of the examiners' final report and recommendation, the Research Degrees Team shall notify the candidate of the outcome of the re-examination and shall copy this to the examiners, supervisors and appropriate Postgraduate Research Tutor.

- 17.17 Where the examiners are satisfied that the candidate has in general reached the standard required for the degree, but consider that the candidate's thesis requires some minor or major amendments and corrections, the examiners shall recommend that the degree be awarded subject to the candidate amending the thesis to the satisfaction of the internal and/or external examiner (see sub-paragraph 17.9(b)). The internal examiner shall collate all the examiners' comments as a Word document and shall produce an agreed written list of the amendments and corrections required to the thesis. This should be sent by post or emailed to the Research Degrees Team within two weeks of the date of the oral examination and once approved, the report shall be sent to the candidate.
- 17.18 The candidate should send the amended thesis to the internal examiner to approve the corrections within three months of receiving the report for minor amendments or six months for major amendments. The internal examiner should notify the candidate and the Research Degrees Team of their decision by letter or email, within a maximum of two months of receipt of the thesis. The final approved thesis shall then be submitted to the Research Degree Team within one month of the date the examiner formally approved the revisions, together with a copy of the notification from the examiner confirming that the amended thesis meets the examiners' requirements.
- 17.19 Where the examiners recommend that the degree be not awarded, the examiners shall prepare an agreed statement of the deficiencies of the thesis and the reason for their recommendation, which shall be submitted to the Research Degrees Team for approval by the Chair of the Research Degrees Committee. The report will then be sent to the candidate by the Research Degree Team.
- 17.20 Where the examiners recommend, in the case of a PhD examination, that the candidate be awarded the degree of MPhil (see sub-paragraph 17.14(d)), the examiners shall prepare an agreed statement of the reason for the recommendation, which shall be submitted to the Research Degrees Team for approval by the Chair of the Research Degrees Committee. The report will then be sent to the candidate by the Research Degree Team.
- 17.21 The Research Degree Committee shall make a decision on the examiners' recommendation in relation to the re-examination in accordance with the requirements of Section 16.5.
18. **Conferment of the award**
- 18.1 The delegated power to confer the degree rests with the Research Degree Committee and the Committee, or the Chair on behalf of the Research Degree Committee, shall make a decision on the reports and recommendation(s) of the examiners in respect of the candidate as laid down in Section 16.5.
- 18.2 Where the degree has been awarded without amendments, the conferment date shall be the date of the Viva. Where Minor or Major amendments are required, the date of the award shall be the date the examiners' signed off all revisions as being satisfactory and confirm that the degree may be conferred. In the case of resubmission and re-examination where a second viva is not held, the date of the award shall be the date on the letter or email, submitted by all the examiners, confirming that the thesis is satisfactory and that the degree may be conferred.
- 18.3 Once a decision has been made on the examiners' recommendation(s) as laid down in Section 16.5, one permanently bound copy of the thesis and all the necessary documentation has been submitted to the Research Degrees Team, the Chair of the Research Degree Committee and the University's Academic Registrar shall sign the appropriate conferment form - RDC-MPhil(C), RDC-PhD(C), RDC-DCM(C), RDC-EdD(C), RDC-PW(C) or RDC-MRes(C). When all the requirements of the University have been met, the conferment form shall be forwarded to the Certificate Team as authority to print the research degree certificate. The thesis submitted must be identical to that examined except for where corrections have been requested by the examiners at either the first oral examination or in the case of a resubmission, the second oral examination.

- 18.4 Following the award of the degree the Research Degrees Team shall:
- (a) lodge one hard bound and one electronic copy of the thesis in the library of the University and
  - (b) for PhD theses, send a copy of the title and abstract pages to the British Library
- 18.5 A copy of the thesis should also be lodged in the library of any Collaborating Establishment(s).
- 18.6 Where the Research Degree Committee has agreed that the confidential nature of the candidate's work is such as to preclude the thesis being made freely available in the library of the University (and any Collaborating Establishment), the final approved thesis shall be retained by the University on restricted access and, for a time not exceeding the approved period (see paragraph 5.8), shall only be made available to those who were directly involved in the project.
- 18.7 Candidates wishing to attend one of the University's Graduation Ceremonies, must have been awarded their research degree at least eight weeks before the date of the Graduation Ceremony. All amendments required by the examiners should be approved, and the final bound copy of the thesis and all the necessary paper work must be submitted to the Research Degrees Team before a candidate may attend that ceremony.
- 18.8 An electronic copy of the thesis must be submitted with the final hardbound copy of the final thesis. The electronic copy should be submitted as a Word document or in another suitable open document format. The electronic thesis should be saved on a CD ROM and inserted securely in the inside front cover of the thesis.
- 18.9 The candidate shall confirm through completion of form RDC-Decl, that the final electronic copy of the thesis may be submitted to the University's repository (RADAR) and in the case of a PhD thesis, the British Library's ETHOS Project.

The candidate should also confirm that:

- the thesis can be made publicly available online;
  - the thesis can be made publicly available online only after an agreed period as approved by the Research Degree Committee;
  - the thesis may not be made publicly available online;
  - that an additional, abridged version can be made publicly available online during the limited period when the whole version of the thesis remains on restricted access;
  - permission has or has not been obtained for the use of all third party material included in the thesis and where that was not granted, those sections, images, graphics etc. have been removed from the electronic (PDF) submission.
- 18.10 The candidate shall also confirm through completion of form RDC-Decl, that the final hard bound copy of the thesis includes all the work approved by the examiners at or following viva if revisions are required.
- 18.11 Where the candidate wishes to publish material from the thesis following final submission, they may complete the appropriate section on the RDC-Decl form, withholding the electronic copy and where necessary, the hard bound copy of the thesis for up to two years in the first instance and where required up to a maximum of five years.
- 18.12 Exceptionally at the final submission, student may request that the electronic copy of the thesis is withheld indefinitely by completing the appropriate section of the RDC-Decl form.

## 19. **Format of the thesis**

- 19.1 Theses may be submitted for examination in a temporarily bound form which is sufficiently secure to ensure that pages cannot be added or removed. Once all elements of the examination process have been completed satisfactorily, the thesis must be submitted in a permanent binding of the approved type (see paragraph 19.11 for details) before the degree may be awarded. A thesis submitted in a temporarily bound form shall be in its final form in all respects save the binding. In

such cases the candidate shall confirm, through the submission of a declaration form RDC-Decl that the contents of the permanently bound thesis are identical with the version submitted for examination, except where amendments have been made to meet the requirements of the examiners (Section 18.6).

- 19.2 Except with the specific permission of the Research Degree Committee the thesis shall be presented in English (see paragraph 5.7). Where a thesis is presented in a language other than English, a summary in English of 1,000 words shall be included in the thesis.
- 19.3 There shall be an abstract of approximately 300 words bound into the thesis which shall provide a synopsis of the thesis stating the nature and scope of the work undertaken and of the contribution made to the knowledge of the subject treated. In addition, a copy of the abstract completed by the candidate should be submitted with the thesis.
- 19.4 The thesis shall include a statement of the candidate's objectives and shall acknowledge published or other sources of material consulted (including an appropriate bibliography or list of citations depending on the discipline) and any assistance received.
- 19.5 Where a candidate's research programme is part of a collaborative group project, the thesis shall indicate clearly the candidate's individual contribution and the extent of the collaboration.
- 19.6 The candidate shall be free to publish material in advance of the thesis but reference shall be made in the thesis to any such work. Copies of published material should either be bound in with the thesis or placed in an adequately secured pocket at the end of the thesis.
- 19.7 The copies of the thesis submitted for examination shall remain the property of the University but the copyright in the thesis shall be vested in the candidate.
- 19.8 The text of the thesis should not exceed the following length:
- |  |               |
|--|---------------|
| for a PhD in Science, Engineering, Art and Design        | 40,000 words  |
| for an MPhil in Science, Engineering, Art and Design     | 20,000 words  |
| for a PhD in Health Care                                 | 80,000 words  |
| for an MPhil in Health Care                              | 40,000        |
| for a PhD in Humanities, Environment, Social Sciences    | 100,000 words |
| for an MPhil in Humanities, Environment, Social Sciences | 50,000 words  |
| for the Research Component of the Professional Doctorate | 60,000 words  |

The abstract, main text and bibliography should be included in the maximum word length. Appendices should also be included in the maximum word length. Only supplementary information such as data, including transcribed or original source data, questionnaire responses, computer listings and previously published work by the candidate are exempt from the word count but may be included in the Appendices.

Where the programme of research involves the candidate's own creative work, poetry, practice-based work (see regulation 6.4), the written commentary should be within the following range. Exceptionally an application for an extension to the maximum word limit indicated below, of no more than 10,000 words for PhD theses and no more than 5,000 words for MPhil theses, may be considered on a case-by-case basis. Requests for such variations should be made by email to the Secretary to the Research Degrees Committee, by the Director of Studies on behalf of the candidate, stating the reasons for the proposed change to the word count.

for a PhD	15,000 - 20,000 words
for an MPhil	8,000 - 10,000 words

Where the programme of research involves the preparation of a scholarly edition of texts, creative writing or other work (see regulation 6.5), the written thesis should be within the following range:

for a PhD	30,000 - 40,000 words
for an MPhil	15,000 - 20,000 words

The documentation of the practical work can be in the form of a material product, but can also be documented in a separate text that shows the stages and the procedures that the candidate has worked through. If the documentation of practice is in the form of a text, the maximum word limit for this documentation would be a maximum of 60,000 words bringing the overall maximum count to 100,000 words.

The Critical Reflection/Commentary of the work will follow existing Regulations (see paragraph 6.5) and should be within 15,000 and 40,000 words depending on whether the thesis will be defined as a) involving “the candidate’s own creative work or practice-based work” (maximum 20,000 words) or b) “where the programme of research involves the preparation of a scholarly edition of texts, creative writing or other work (Maximum 30,000 – 40,000) words.

19.9 In exceptional cases, variations to the word limits may be granted by the Research Degree Committee. Exceptionally an application for an extension to the maximum word limit of no more than 5,000 words for PhD theses and pro rata for MPhil theses, may be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Research Degrees Committee. Requests for such variations should be made by email to the Secretary to the Research Degrees Committee, by the Director of Studies on behalf of the candidate, stating the reasons for the proposed change to the word count.

19.10 The following requirements shall be adhered to in the format of the submitted thesis:

- (a) Theses shall be in A4 format; the Research Degree Committee may give permission for a thesis to be submitted in another format where it is satisfied that the contents of the thesis can be better expressed in that format.
- (b) copies of the thesis shall be presented in a permanent and legible form either in typescript or print; where copies are produced by photocopying processes, these shall be of a permanent nature; where word processor and printing devices are used, the printer shall be capable of producing text of a satisfactory quality; the size of character used in the main text, including displayed matter and notes, shall not be less than 10 pt type for capitals and 9 pt type for lower case.
- (c) the thesis submitted for examination shall be printed on both sides of the paper which shall be white and within the range 70 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 120 g/m<sup>2</sup>;
- (d) the final bound thesis submitted following examination shall be printed on both sides of the paper which shall be white and within the range 70 g/m<sup>2</sup> to 120 g/m<sup>2</sup>;
- (e) the margin at the left-hand binding edge of the page shall not be less than 40mm; other margins shall not be less than 15mm;
- (f) at final submission when the thesis is printed on both sides of the paper, the margin should also be no less than 15mm;
- (g) double or one-and-a-half spacing shall be used in the typescript except for indented quotations or footnotes where single spacing may be used;
- (h) pages shall be numbered consecutively through the main text including photographs and/or diagrams included as whole pages;

- (i) the title page shall give the following information:
  - (1) the full title of the thesis;
  - (2) the full name of the author;
  - (3) that the degree is awarded by Oxford Brookes University;
  - (4) that the thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the award of Master or Doctor of Philosophy, PhD by Published Work or Professional Doctorate;
  - (5) the Collaborating Establishment(s), if any; and
  - (6) the month and year that the thesis was first submitted for examination.

19.11 One hard bound copy and one electronic copy of the final thesis will be lodged in the University library. It should be noted that it is normal practice to provide a final copy of the thesis for the Collaborating Establishment(s), Director of Studies or Faculty. The thesis may be bound in any colour and the Library copy shall be presented as follows:

- (a) the electronic copy of the thesis should be submitted as a Word document or in another suitable open document format. The electronic thesis should be saved on a CD ROM and inserted securely in the inside front copy of the thesis.
- (b) the binding shall be of a fixed type so that leaves cannot be removed or replaced; the front and rear board shall have sufficient rigidity to support the weight of the work when standing upright; and
- (c) in at least 24pt embossed type the outside front board shall bear the following:
  - (1) the title of the research project/work;
  - (2) the name and initials of the candidate;
  - (3) the qualification, e.g. PhD, PhD by Published Work, Professional Doctorate, Masters by Research etc; and
  - (4) the year the thesis was first submitted for examination;

the same information (excluding the title of the work) shall be shown on the spine of the work, reading downwards.

## 20. **Complaints and appeals procedures**

### 20.1 **Complaints procedure**

20.1.1 A candidate who has a grievance against the University in relation to the supervision of the research, the resources provided for it or any other of the obligations of the University in relation to the research (other than an appeal against an academic decision – Section 20.2 or the conduct or result of an examination - Section 20.3) may pursue the matter under the University's Student Complaint Procedure. The policy documents can be accessed at:

<https://www.brookes.ac.uk/regulations/current/appeals-complaints-and-conduct/>

20.1.2 It should be noted that students are not permitted to appeal on the grounds of poor supervision once they have submitted their thesis for examination.

### 20.2 **Appeal against an academic decision**

20.2.1 Students have the right to appeal against the following academic decisions made by a supervision team:

- not to register a student for a research degree;
- not to transfer registration from MPhil to PhD;
- to revert registration from PhD to MPhil;
- to withdraw registration.

- 20.2.2 Such appeals will be dealt with by the Faculty in the first instance and should be referred to the Postgraduate Research Tutor or the PVC Dean of Faculty. The students should submit the appeal within 2 months of receiving notification of the academic decision.
- 20.2.3 The first review will be conducted as indicated above and the student notified of the outcome within 20 working days of receiving the appeal.
- 20.2.4 Where the matter remains unresolved, the student may appeal to the Research Degree Committee within 10 working days of despatch of the outcome of the first appeal.
- 20.2.5 The Research Degrees Committee Review Panel will consider the appeal and respond within 20 working days of receiving the request to review the outcome of the first appeal. No appeal can be made against decisions of the Research Degree Committee Review Panel.

## 20. **Complaints and appeals procedures**

### 20.1 **Complaints procedure**

- 20.1.1 A candidate who has a grievance against the University in relation to the supervision of the research, the resources provided for it or any other of the obligations of the University in relation to the research (other than an appeal against an academic decision – Section 20.2 or the conduct or result of an examination - Section 20.3) may pursue the matter under the University's Student Complaint Procedure. The policy documents can be accessed at:  
<https://www.brookes.ac.uk/regulations/current/appeals-complaints-and-conduct/>

### 20.2 **Appeal against an academic decision**

- 20.2.1 Students have the right to appeal against the following academic decisions made by a supervision team:
- not to register a student for a research degree;
  - not to transfer registration from MPhil to PhD;
  - to revert registration from PhD to MPhil;
  - to withdraw registration.
- 20.2.2 Such appeals will be dealt with by the Faculty in the first instance and should be referred to the Postgraduate Research Tutor or the PVC Dean of Faculty. The students should submit the appeal within 2 months of receiving notification of the academic decision.
- 20.2.3 The first review will be conducted as indicated above and the student notified of the outcome within 20 working days of receiving the appeal.
- 20.2.4 Where the matter remains unresolved, the student may appeal to the Research Degree Committee within 10 working days of despatch of the outcome of the first appeal.
- 20.2.5 The Research Degrees Committee Review Panel will consider the appeal and respond within 20 working days of receiving the request to review the outcome of the first appeal. No appeal can be made against decisions of the Research Degree Committee Review Panel.

### 20.3 **Appeal against an examination decision**

- 20.3.1 A candidate may in the circumstances set out below, appeal against an examination decision, whether at the first examination or re-examination.
- 20.3.2 An appeal against an examination decision may only be made in relation to a decision of the Research Degree Committee made on the recommendation of the examiners. Given the existence of procedures for complaint and grievance during the study period (see Section 20.1), alleged inadequacy of supervisory or other arrangements during the period of study shall not constitute grounds for appealing against an examination decision.

- 20.3.3 An appeal against an examination decision may only be made on the following grounds:
- (a) that there were circumstances affecting the candidate's performance of which the examiners were not aware at the oral examination; and/or
  - (b) that there is evidence of procedural irregularity in the conduct of the examination (including administrative error) of such a nature as to cause doubt as to whether the result might have been different had there not been such an irregularity; and/or
  - (c) that there is evidence of unfair or improper assessment on the part of one or more of the examiners - a candidate may not otherwise challenge the academic judgement of the examiners.
- 20.3.4 It should be noted that students are not permitted to appeal on the grounds of poor supervision once they have submitted their thesis for examination.
- 20.3.5 An appeal under sub-paragraph 20.3.3(a) will not be allowed unless:
- (a) medical certificate or other documentary evidence acceptable to the examiners is produced; and
  - (b) valid reasons can be shown why the candidate was unable or unwilling to make the relevant facts known to the examiners before their original decision was taken.
- 20.3.6 Candidates wishing to lodge an appeal should submit an application by email to the Research Degrees Team ([rd-enquiries@brookes.ac.uk](mailto:rd-enquiries@brookes.ac.uk)). The Appeals procedure aims to ensure students have the opportunity to seek redress if there are grounds to indicate an irregularity has occurred. Any student who wishes to raise an appeal against an examination decision should feel able to do so without fear of subsequent victimisation.
- 20.3.7 All staff and students are required to keep information relating to an Academic Appeal confidential, except where it is necessary to progress, investigate or respond. If there is an unnecessary breach of confidentiality, it may result in formal disciplinary action being taken through the Student or Staff Disciplinary Procedure.
- 20.3.8 The University recognises that there is appropriate conduct when submitting, pursuing or investigating appeal against an examination decision. Raising a vexatious appeal is a breach of the Student Conduct Regulations and may lead to disciplinary action. The Procedure can only operate in a climate of mutual respect. It is understood that in upsetting or distressing circumstances, people may act out of character and may become persistent, angry or upset. However, where it leads to aggressive behaviour, unreasonable demands or repeated related requests, it is considered unacceptable. The Academic Registrar reserves the right to suspend the appeals procedure if they consider the student is acting inappropriately and will write to the student to inform them of the reasons for doing so.
- 20.3.9 The University will investigate all appeals against examination decisions as fully as possible. Therefore students are not allowed to submit a subsequent Appeal if the content is substantially similar to one already considered.
- 20.3.10 The Research Degrees Team can provide authoritative advice on the application and operation of these regulations. The Brookes Union Advice Service can provide advice independent of the University, <https://www.brookesunion.org.uk/advice>
- 20.3.11 Raising an appeal against an examination decision
- All requests for an appeal against an examination decision must be submitted by email to [rd-enquiries@brookes.ac.uk](mailto:rd-enquiries@brookes.ac.uk) and should include:
- a clear account for the appeal based on the grounds set out in Section 20.3.3/ 20.3.4;
  - clearly stated facts that support the appeal;
  - sufficient evidence to support the case being made;

- the remedy the student is seeking.

20.3.12 All appeals must be received in full, within two months of the notification of the Research Degrees Committee's decision. If a student is unable to comply with that timescale but would like to submit an Appeal, they must write to the Research Degrees Team by email: ([rd-enquiries@brookes.ac.uk](mailto:rd-enquiries@brookes.ac.uk)), within two months of the notification.

20.3.13 This request must include:

- a statement about why the appeal will be late, demonstrating that the circumstances which caused the delay were through no fault of the student, and could not have been accommodated by them;
- suitable evidence to demonstrate the reasons for lateness and why it was outside of the student's control.

The Research Degrees Team will notify the Student of a time limit for the submission of the full appeal within 10 working days of receiving the request.

20.3.14 The Research Degrees Team will acknowledge receipt of the appeal within 5 working days.

20.3.15 The appeal will be considered by a senior member of the Directorate of Academic and Student Affairs nominated by the Academic Registrar in the first instance, who will consult with the Chair of the Research Degree Committee and where appropriate the Chair of the relevant Research Degree Sub-Committee; Science and Technology or Humanities, Environment and Social Sciences; and shall determine whether, based on the evidence presented by the candidate and having studied the supporting documentation held by the university, there is sufficient evidence to support a case for an appeal. If it is considered that the request is frivolous, vexatious or outside the permitted grounds, the Academic Registrar's nominee, shall inform the candidate in writing within 10 working days of receiving the appeal, that there is no evidence to support a case for an appeal and the reasons for this decision.

20.3.16 If the student is dissatisfied with the outcome of the appeal, an application may be submitted within 10 working days of notification of the outcome of the first stage, requesting that the decision is reviewed by the Registrar or Academic Registrar as appropriate. Any such request must set out in writing and include the reasons why the student believes the decision was unjustified. The request must be received by the senior member of the Directorate of Academic and Student Affairs who was nominated by the Academic Registrar to undertake the first review.

20.3.17 The Registrar or Academic Registrar will notify the student of the outcome of the second review within 10 working days of receiving the request for the review.

20.3.18 If it is considered that there is a valid case for an appeal, the Academic Registrar's nominee, shall gather such evidence as is considered appropriate and likely to assist a panel in reviewing the case. This may include seeking written or oral testimony from the examiners, from other persons present at the oral examination, from supervisors or other members of the academic staff, or further evidence or statements from the candidate.

20.3.19 The appeal shall be considered by a review panel, convened by the Academic Registrar's nominee, and held within 20 working days of receiving the appeal. The review panel shall have the following composition:

- (a) a member of the Senior Management Team as Chair (preferably PVC for Research & Global Partnerships);
- (b) one Associate Dean or Head of Department;
- (c) one Professor, experienced supervisor or research active member of staff;
- (d) two research degree students nominated by the President of the Students' Union;
- (e) the Academic Registrar' nominee as secretary.

None of the members of the panel shall be a member of staff or a student in the candidate's Faculty. At least two members of the panel shall have significant experience of research degree examining.

- 20.3.20 The review panel shall hear the appeal. The conduct of the appeal is at the discretion of the Chair, but the candidate and the examiners shall have the right to:
- (a) submit written representations;
  - (b) appear at the hearing;
  - (c) call witnesses;
  - (d) examine any witnesses called;
  - (e) be accompanied by a friend.
- 20.3.21 The Internal Examiner shall be expected to attend the review panel hearing if invited to do so. In these cases the panel will not proceed without the Internal Examiner present to represent the examining team.
- 20.3.22 If the review panel decides that a candidate has valid grounds for an appeal, it shall recommend that the Research Degree Committee either:
- (a) invite the examiners to reconsider their decision; or
  - (b) appoint new examiners.
- 20.3.23 A review panel shall not be constituted as an Examination Board and shall not have the authority to recommend the award of the degree.
- Academic appeal procedures are internal to a provider and should not be unduly formal. It will not be appropriate for a student or the provider to be legally represented at an academic appeal hearing except in the most exceptional circumstances. The Academic Registrar will be responsible for considering whether the circumstances of an appeal are such that legal representation is appropriate.
- 20.3.24 The Student will be notified of the outcome within 10 working days of the review panel meeting.
- 20.3.25 If a candidate is dissatisfied with a decision of the Academic Registrar's nominee under paragraph 20.3.11 above, the candidate may request in writing that it be reviewed by the Academic Registrar. Any such request must be received within 10 working days of issuing the decision letter.
- 20.3.26 The Academic Registrar will acknowledge receipt of the request within 10 working days and will review the appeal and respond within 20 working days from receipt of the request. The decision of the Academic Registrar shall be final.
- 20.3.27 If a member of staff, being in possession of material that, by reason of its confidential nature, cannot be communicated to the candidate, wishes to appeal on behalf of the candidate, he or she may, with the written consent of the candidate, bring an appeal under these regulations with the substitution of 'member of staff' for 'candidate' as appropriate.
- 20.3.28 Throughout this procedure the Academic Registrar's nominee, may nominate a senior member of her/his staff to act on her/his behalf in relation to any request for a review.
- 20.3.29 If the Appeal is not upheld this will be the termination of the University's Appeal against an examination procedure and a letter stating this will be sent to the student within 20 working days of the final decision being agreed.

### **Further Review**

- 20.3.30 If a student has completed the University's internal Appeal procedure and they are still dissatisfied with the outcome, they may be able to refer their complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA), providing that the complaint is eligible under the OIA's Rules. A letter stating that a complainant has completed the University's internal complaint procedure shall include information on the OIA and comply with the OIA's guidance for a "Completion of Procedures" letter.

## Appeal against an examination decision

*University Stage of Procedure*

*Timescale\**

*Responsibility*

Submission of an Appeal against an examination decision

Within two months from the publication of the Examination Committee decision

*Student*

Acknowledgement of an Appeal against an examination decision

5 Working Days from Submission of Complaints and Appeals Form

*Research Degrees Team*

Response (Admissible or not)

10 Working Days from Submission of an Appeal against an examination decision

*Academic Registrar's nominee*

Student Requests a Review of the Associate Director's Decision

10 Working Days from the issue of the Response letter

*Student*

Acknowledgement of review request

10 Working Days from Student Request

*Academic Registrar/Registrar*

Response to review request

20 Working Days from Student Request

*Academic Registrar*

Review Panel to be convened

20 Working days from response to review request

*Academic Registrar*

Notification of Review Panel Decision

10 Working days from the date of the Panel meeting

*Academic Registrar*

If the appeal against an examination decision is not upheld this will be the termination of the University's Appeal procedure and a letter stating this will be sent to the student within 20 working days of the final decision being agreed.

\*The University defines a 'working day' as Monday-Friday excluding bank holidays and other days when the University is closed.

### **Approved by:**

Research Degree Committee: 18 December 2018

Research & Knowledge Exchange Committee: 2 March 2019

Academic Board: 10 April 2019

### **Next review:**

October 2020

## **Annex 1: The role and responsibilities of the Research Degree Committee**

### **1 Powers and responsibilities of the Research Degree Committee**

- 1.1 The Academic Board of the University has established a Research Degree Committee with the following powers:
- (a) to register students for MPhil, for MPhil with possibility of transfer to PhD, or for PhD direct, and PhD by Published Work on approved programmes of work under approved supervision;
  - (b) to transfer registration from MPhil to PhD;
  - (c) to exercise all other responsibilities for the registration, supervision, transfer, suspension and extension of registration which have been delegated to it and to approve examination arrangements;
  - (d) to complete the examination process in respect of individual candidates by deciding upon the examiners' recommendations;
  - (e) to consider and decide upon requests by candidates for a review of an examination decision;
  - (f) to confer all Research Degree, Higher Degree and Professional Doctorate awards.
- 1.2 The Research Degree Committee shall be responsible for:
- (a) the general arrangements under which the candidate's research is carried out, including arrangements for academic supervision and postgraduate study and the provision of adequate facilities to enable the candidate to conduct and complete the research programme in an efficient and safe manner; and
  - (b) approving examination arrangements and for the conduct of the examination.
- 1.3 The Research Degree Committee shall assume full responsibility for and have appropriate mechanisms for dealing with all stages of the activities delegated to it, including responsibility for dealing with the recommendations of examiners.
- 1.4 The Research Degree Committee shall ensure that the interests of students are protected and that the standard of awards is maintained under the University's regulations for research degree.

### **2 Membership**

- 2.1 The membership of the Research Degree Committee shall be constituted to ensure:
- (a) appropriate experience of completed research degree supervision;
  - (b) appropriate experience of examining research degrees;
  - (c) a wide range of research experience and research-based publications;
  - (d) subject expertise to reflect the range of disciplines in which students are registered as far as is reasonably practicable; and
  - (e) familiarity with the University's regulations for research degrees.
- 2.2 The above implies that:
- (a) a majority of the Research Degree Committee shall have supervised three or more candidates to successful completion of PhD degrees;
  - (b) a substantial proportion shall have had experience of examining research degrees;
  - (c) there shall be clear evidence that members are or have been engaged in research activities leading to publication; and
  - (d) there is sufficient expertise represented on the Research Degree Committee to ensure that each application can be dealt with appropriately.
- 2.3 No person who is registered for a research degree, with the exception of PhD by Published Work, shall be a member of the Research Degree Committee.

2.4 Members may be co-opted onto the Research Degree Committee for a period of no longer than one year.

**Annex 2: List of the University's research degree forms**

RDC-R	Application to register for a research degree (MPhil/ PhD)
RDC-R	Application to register for the research component of a Professional Doctorate
RDC-R	Application to register for PhD by Published Work
RDC-R	Application to register for a Masters by Research programme
RDC-T	Application for transfer of registration from Master of Philosophy to Doctor of Philosophy
RDC-E	Application for approval of examination arrangements
RDC-S	Application for change in approved supervision arrangements
RDC-Ext	Application for extension of registration
RDC-Susp	Application for suspension of registration
RDC-MS	Application for change in approved mode of study
RDC-W	Notification of withdrawal of registration
RDC-MPhil(A)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the degree of Master of Philosophy
RDC-PhD(A)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
RDC-PhD-PW(A)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Published Work
RDC-DCM(A)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the research component for the degree of Doctor of Coaching and Mentoring
RDC-EdD(A)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the research component for the degree of Doctor of Education
RDC-MRes(A)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the degree of Master of Research
RDC-MPhil(B)	Final report and recommendation of the examiners on a candidate for the degree of Master of Philosophy
RDC-PhD(B)	Final report and recommendation of the examiners on a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
RDC-MRes(B)	Preliminary report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the degree of Master of Research

RDC-PhD-PW(B)	Final report and recommendation of an examiner on a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Published Work
RDC-DCM(B)	Final report and recommendation of the examiners on a candidate for the research component for the degree of Doctor of Coaching and Mentoring
RDC-EdD(B)	Final report and recommendation of the examiners on a candidate for the research component for the degree of Doctor of Education
RDC-Sub	Candidate's submission form
RDC-Decl	Candidate's declaration form on submission of final permanently-bound thesis following initial submission of temporarily-bound thesis for examination
RDC-CV	Curriculum vitae form for research degree supervisors and examiner
RDC-CA.I	Confidentiality Agreement form for a research degree internal examiner
RDC-CA.E	Confidentiality Agreement form for a research degree external examiner.